Cyclic Aliphatic Bromides Cluster (HBCD) (CASRN: 25637- 99- 4; 3194- 55- 6; 3194- 57- 8)

Systematic Review Supplemental File for the TSCA Risk Evaluation: Data Quality Evaluation of Human Health Hazard Studies

Table of Contents

[TOC \o "1-3" \h \z \u]

Acute Toxicity Studies

1. Animal toxicity evaluation results of 1990 acute oral study (1928284) on mortality, body weight outcomes

Study reference:	(1990). LETT	ER FROM AMERIBROM HEXABROMOCYCL				N FOR
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score
	Test Substance Identity	The test substance was identified by abbreviation.	Medium	2	2	4
Test Substance	2. Test Substance Source	The source of the test substance, including manufacturer, was not specifically reported. Lot number was not reported.	Low	3	1	3
3.	3. Test Substance Purity	Purity and grade were not reported and there was no analysis conducted for measurement of impurities, if present.	Low	3	1	3
	4. Negative and Vehicle Controls	Use of a control group was not reported, but is not required for studies of this type and outcome	Low	3	2	6
Test Design	5. Positive Controls		Not Rated	NA	NA	NA
	6. Randomized Allocation	The study authors did not report how animals were allocated to study groups but there was only one group.		NA	NA	NA
Exposure Characterization	7. Preparation and Storage of Test Substance	The study authors reported some details on test item preparation, but they were incomplete (e.g., time of stirring, temperature, etc.) and the storage conditions were not reported,	Low	3	1	3

Study reference:	(1990). LETTER FROM AMERIBROM INC TO US EPA REGARDING 8D SUBMISSION FOR HEXABROMOCYCLODODECANE WITH ATTACHMENTS						
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score	
	8. Consistency of Exposure Administration	A few details were reported that indicted that dosing methods were equivalent (e.g., similar dosing volumes at 10 mL/kg), but insufficient details were reported to allow determination of whether exposure administration was consistent.	Low	3	1	3	
	9. Reporting of Doses/Concentrati ons	Administered dose level was reported.	High	1	2	2	
	10. Exposure Frequency and Duration	The exposure frequency and duration were incompletely reported to allow a determination of whether they were suitable. Stated to be an acute study though, so suggests one exposure.	Low	3	1	3	
	11. Number of Exposure Groups and Dose Spacing	Only one dose level was tested, but this is acceptable for studies of this type.	High	1	1	1	
	12. Exposure Route and Method	The route of exposure was reported and was suited to the test substance.	High	1	1	1	
Test Organism	13. Test Animal Characteristics	The test animal source, life stage, and starting body weight were not reported; species, strain, and sex were reported.	Medium	2	2	4	

Study reference:	(1990). LETTER FROM AMERIBROM INC TO US EPA REGARDING 8D SUBMISSION FOR HEXABROMOCYCLODODECANE WITH ATTACHMENTS						
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score	
	14. Adequacy and Consistency of Animal Husbandry Conditions	Husbandry conditions were not sufficiently reported to evaluate if husbandry was adequate and/or if differences existed between the exposed and control groups. These deficiencies may have a substantial impact on the results.	Low	3	1	3	
	15. Number per Group	The number of animals was appropriate for the study type and outcome analysis.	High	1	1	1	
	16. Outcome Assessment Methodology	Details on the outcome assessment methodology were incompletely reported (e.g., the frequency of observations during the post-exposure observation period). Due to incomplete reporting, it's not clear whether methods were sensitive for the outcomes of interest other than non-lethal outcomes	Low	3	2	6	
Outcome Assessment	17. Consistency of Outcome Assessment	Consistency of the outcome assessments was not adequately reported for meaningful interpretation of results. These are serious flaws that make the study unusable.	Unacceptable	NA	1	NA	
	18. Sampling Adequacy	Details regarding sampling of outcomes were not reported and this deficiency is likely to have a substantial impact on results.	Low	3	1	3	
	19. Blinding of Assessors		Not Rated	NA	NA	NA	

Study reference:	(1990). LETT	ER FROM AMERIBROM HEXABROMOCYCL				N FOR
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score
	20. Negative Control Response		Not Rated	NA	NA	NA
Confounding / Variable Control	21. Confounding Variables in Test Design and Procedures	Lack of reporting of initial body weights and whether there were any differences among the study groups in this or other parameters is considered to have a substantial impact on the results.	Low	3	2	6
	22. Health Outcomes Unrelated to Exposure	Data on attrition and/or health outcomes unrelated to exposure for each study group were not reported because only substantial differences among groups were noted	Low	3	1	3
	23. Statistical Methods		Not Rated	NA	NA	NA
Data Presentation and Analysis	24. Reporting of Data	Data reporting was minimal and data on outcomes of exposure were reported in the text only.	Low	3	2	6
		Sum of so	cores:		26	61
Medium: >=	1 and <1.7 -1.7 and <2.3	Overall Score = Su Scores/Sum of Metric		NA	Overall Score: Nearest *:	NA
LOW: >=2	Low: >=2.3 and <=3		Overall Quality Level:			
Study Quality Comment:	The reviewer upgraded this study's overall quality rating. They noted: The report provides minimal details on methodology and results; however, the results for this acute oral toxicity study may be useful in a weight of evidence with other similar studies. Note: There is no calculated score because the study was initially assigned a rating of unacceptable, which produces an automatic score of 4.0.					

2. Animal toxicity evaluation results of 1990 study (1928284) for primary skin irritation study on irritation outcomes

Study reference:	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	ER FROM AMERIBRON HEXABROMOCYCL	I INC TO US EPA R	EGARDING		N FOR
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score
	1. Test Substance Identity	The test substance was identified by abbreviation, and a trade name.	Medium	2	2	4
Test Substance	Test Substance Source	Test substance source was reported.	High	1	1	1
rest Substance	3. Test Substance Purity	Purity and grade were not reported and there was no analysis conducted for measurement of impurities, if present.	Low	3	1	3
	4. Negative and Vehicle Controls	Use of a control group was not reported, but is not required for studies of this type and outcome	Low	3	2	6
Test Design	5. Positive Controls		Not Rated	NA	NA	NA
	6. Randomized Allocation	The study authors did not report how animals were allocated to study groups but there was only one group.	Not Rated	NA	NA	NA
	7. Preparation and Storage of Test Substance	Test substance preparation was reported; however, storage was not reported.	Medium	2	1	2
Exposure Characterization	8. Consistency of Exposure Administration	The study reported consistent exposure administration; however, some details were lacking, such whether the exposures occurred at the same approximate time for all animals.	Medium	2	1	2
	9. Reporting of Doses/Concentrati ons	Administered dose level was reported.	High	1	2	2

Study reference:	(1990). LETTER FROM AMERIBROM INC TO US EPA REGARDING 8D SUBMISSION FOR HEXABROMOCYCLODODECANE WITH ATTACHMENTS						
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score	
	10. Exposure Frequency and Duration	Exposure frequency and duration were reported.	High	1	1	1	
	11. Number of Exposure Groups and Dose Spacing	Only one dose level was tested, but this is acceptable for studies of this type.	High	1	1	1	
	12. Exposure Route and Method	The route of exposure was reported and was suited to the test substance.	High	1	1	1	
	13. Test Animal Characteristics	Test animal source, life stage, initial body weight, species, strain, and sex were reported; test animal was from a laboratory-maintained colony	High	1	2	2	
Test Organism	14. Adequacy and Consistency of Animal Husbandry Conditions	Husbandry conditions were reported, including lighting, temperature, and humidity.	High	1	1	1	
	15. Number per Group	The number of animals per study group (six) and number of groups (one) was acceptable for the study type and outcomes of interest.	High	1	1	1	
Outcome	16. Outcome Assessment Methodology	The outcome assessment methodology addressed or reported the intended outcomes) of interest and was sensitive for the outcomes(s) of interest.	High	1	2	2	
Assessment	17. Consistency of Outcome Assessment	Details of the outcome assessment protocol were reported for some outcomes, including time points for post-exposure observations, and were the same across all groups.	Medium	2	1	2	

Study reference:	(1990). LETT	ER FROM AMERIBRON HEXABROMOCYCL				N FOR
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score
	18. Sampling Adequacy	Details regarding sampling for the outcomes of interest were partially reported (e.g., sampling for general condition was not indicated, such as how many animals were examined.	Medium	2	1	2
	19. Blinding of Assessors		Not Rated	NA	NA	NA
	20. Negative Control Response		Not Rated	NA	NA	NA
Confounding / Variable Control	21. Confounding Variables in Test Design and Procedures	No initial differences in body weight were reported within the treatment group and there were no other reported differences that could influence the outcome assessment	Medium	2	2	4
	22. Health Outcomes Unrelated to Exposure	Data on attrition and/or health outcomes unrelated to exposure for each study group were not reported because only substantial differences among groups were noted	Low	3	1	3
	23. Statistical Methods		Not Rated	NA	NA	NA
Data Presentation and Analysis	24. Reporting of Data	There were some deficiencies in reporting of data (e.g., initial body weights were based on a range. rather than actual values.)	Low	3	2	6
		Sum of so	cores:		26	46
Medium: >=	and <1.7 1.7 and <2.3	Overall Score = Su Scores/Sum of Metric		1.7692	Overall Score: Nearest *:	1.8
Low: >=2.3 and <=3		Overall Quality Level:		Medium Medium		

Study reference:	(1990). LETTER FROM AMERIBROM INC TO US EPA REGARDING 8D SUBMISSION FOR HEXABROMOCYCLODODECANE WITH ATTACHMENTS						
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score	
Study Quality Comment:		The reviewer agree	d with this study's ov	erall qualit	y level.		

3. Animal toxicity evaluation results of Eriksson et al 2006 (787660) for oral neurodevelopmental study (single dose pnd10) study on neurological/behavior, growth (early life) and development outcomes

Study reference:	Eriksson, P.,Fischer, C.,Wallin, M.,Jakobsson, E.,Fredriksson, A. (2006). Impaired behaviour, learning and memory, in adult mice neonatally exposed to hexabromocyclododecane (HBCDD) Environmental Toxicology and Pharmacology, 21(3), 317-322						
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score	
	1. Test Substance Identity	Characterized as a mixture containing three diastereo-isomers alpha-, beta-, and gamma-HBCD.	High	1	2	2	
Test Substance	2. Test Substance Source	Prepared from a commercial mixture, but the manufacturer and lot/batch number were not given. Analytical verification is not described.	Low	3	1	3	
	Test Substance Purity	>98%	High	1	1	1	
	4. Negative and Vehicle Controls	Negative vehicle controls were used.	High	1	2	2	
Test Design	5. Positive Controls	Positive controls were not needed for neurodevelopmental studies.	Not Rated	NA	NA	NA	
	6. Randomized Allocation	Randomly selected from 3-4 different litters from each treatment group.	High	1	1	1	
-	7. Preparation and Storage of Test Substance	Preparation was well described and appropriate. Single dose study, therefore prolonged storage is not a concern.	High	1	1	1	
Exposure Characterization	8. Consistency of Exposure Administration	Details of exposure administration were reported and exposures were administered consistently across study groups in a scientifically sound manner (dose given via a PVC tube).	High	1	1	1	

Study reference:	Eriksson, P.,Fischer, C.,Wallin, M.,Jakobsson, E.,Fredriksson, A. (2006). Impaired behaviour, learning and memory, in adult mice neonatally exposed to hexabromocyclododecane (HBCDD Environmental Toxicology and Pharmacology, 21(3), 317-322					
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score
	9. Reporting of Doses/Concentrati ons	Gavage doses were reported as both mg/kg and µmol/kg.	High	1	2	2
	10. Exposure Frequency and Duration	Administered during a critical period (on PND 10) in neonatal development of the mouse brain.	High	1	1	1
	11. Number of Exposure Groups and Dose Spacing	2 doses plus control. Doses were not justified but produced a range of responses.	Medium	2	1	2
	12. Exposure Route and Method	The route and method of exposure were reported and were suited to the test substance.	High	1	1	1
	13. Test Animal Characteristics	Species, strain and age of neonatal mice was specified.	High	1	2	2
Test Organism	14. Adequacy and Consistency of Animal Husbandry Conditions	Most husbandry conditions were reported and were adequate and similar for all groups. Humidity was not reported. But this is unlikely to have a substantial impact on the results.	Medium	2	1	2
	15. Number per Group	The number of animals per study group was reported, appropriate for the study type and outcome analysis, and consistent with studies of the same or similar type (10/group)	High	1	1	1
Outcome Assessment	16. Outcome Assessment Methodology	Standard tests of spontaneous behavior and learning and memory.	High	1	2	2

Study reference:		Eriksson, P.,Fischer, C.,Wallin, M.,Jakobsson, E.,Fredriksson, A. (2006). Impaired behaviour, learning and memory, in adult mice neonatally exposed to hexabromocyclododecane (HBCDD) Environmental Toxicology and Pharmacology, 21(3), 317-322						
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score		
	17. Consistency of Outcome Assessment	Details of the outcome assessment protocol were reported and outcomes were assessed consistently across study groups (e.g., at the same time after initial exposure) using the same protocol in all study groups.	High	1	1	1		
	18. Sampling Adequacy	Details regarding sampling for the outcome(s) of interest were reported and the study used adequate sampling for the outcome(s) of interest (e.g., litter data provided for developmental studies; endpoints were evaluated in an adequate number of animals in each group).	High	1	1	1		
	19. Blinding of Assessors	Blinding was not reported; however, outcomes were objective.	Medium	2	1	2		
	20. Negative Control Response	The biological responses of the negative control group(s) were adequate.	High	1	1	1		
Confounding /	21. Confounding Variables in Test Design and Procedures	There were no significant deviations in body weight gain in HBCDD-treated mice compared with the vehicle-treated mice.	High	1	2	2		
Variable Control	22. Health Outcomes Unrelated to Exposure	Data on attrition and/or health outcomes unrelated to exposure were not reported for each study group	Low	3	1	3		

Study reference:		Eriksson, P.,Fischer, C.,Wallin, M.,Jakobsson, E.,Fredriksson, A. (2006). Impaired behaviour, learning and memory, in adult mice neonatally exposed to hexabromocyclododecane (HBCDD) Environmental Toxicology and Pharmacology, 21(3), 317-322						
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score		
Data	23. Statistical Methods	Statistical methods were clearly described and appropriate for dataset(s).	High	1	1	1		
Presentation and Analysis	24. Reporting of Data	Data for exposure- related findings were presented for all outcomes by exposure group and sex.	High	1	2	2		
		Sum of se	cores:		30	37		
Medium: >=	l and <1.7 1.7 and <2.3	Overall Score = Sum of Weighted Scores/Sum of Metric Weighting Factors:		1.2333	Overall Score: Nearest *:	1.2		
Low: >=2.3 and <=3		Overall Quality Level:		High				
Study Quality Comment:	The reviewer agreed with this study's overall quality level.							

4. Animal toxicity evaluation results of IRDC 1978 (787686) for acute toxicity studies (oral, dermal and ocular) study on gastrointestinal, irritation, and skin and connective tissues outcomes

Study reference:	Irdc, (1978). Acu	te toxicity studies in ra with attachmen	bbits and rats with			dodecane
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score
Test Substance	1. Test Substance Identity	The test substance was identified as residue of HBCD (FM 100 residue). EPA requested additional information for the TSCA 8e submitter (Velsicol Chemical Corp.) as follows: "0088-Please provide information concerning the composition and physical/chemical properties of the "FM 100 Residue" which was tested. Of particular interest in this regard is the amount of hexabromocyclododec ane present in the residue. Available toxicity data on hexabromocyclododec ane would be useful for correlation purposes." This information is not contained in the pdf; however, it may have been submitted as CBI. The test substance identity and form cannot be determined from the information provided	Unacceptable	NA	2	NA
1	2. Test Substance Source	The manufacturer was reported without batch or lot no.	Medium	2	1	2

Study reference:	Irdc, (1978). Acute toxicity studies in rabbits and rats with residue of hexabromocyclododecane with attachments and cover letter dated 030178						
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score	
	3. Test Substance Purity	Purity was not reported but is expected to be low because the 2 samples of the residue had different physical descriptions.	Low	3	1	3	
Test Design	4. Negative and Vehicle Controls	No vehicle was used for irritation studies. Negative controls are not used for acute toxicity/lethality studies.	Not Rated	NA	2	NA	
	5. Positive Controls	Positive controls are not required for irritation or acute toxicity/lethality studies.	Not Rated	NA	1	NA	
	6. Randomized Allocation	The study did not report how animals were allocated to study groups.	Low	3	1	3	
	7. Preparation and Storage of Test Substance	Information on preparation and storage was not reported.	Unacceptable	NA	1	NA	
	8. Consistency of Exposure Administration	Details of exposure administration were reported.	High	1	1	1	
Exposure Characterization	9. Reporting of Doses/Concentrati ons	Doses were reported mg/kg in oral acute toxicity studies in rabbits. But the concentration of the test chemical dose (mg) exposed to rabbits for eye or skin irritation study was not specified. Only volume (mL) was provided.	Low	3	2	6	
	10. Exposure Frequency and Duration	Adequate follow up time for examinations for all experiments.	High	1	1	1	
	11. Number of Exposure Groups and Dose Spacing	5 dose groups dermal acute; 6 dose groups oral acute.	High	1	1	1	

Study reference:	Irdc, (1978). Acute toxicity studies in rabbits and rats with residue of hexabromocyclododecane with attachments and cover letter dated 030178						
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score	
	12. Exposure Route and Method	The route and method of exposure were reported and were suited to the test substance.	High	1	1	1	
	13. Test Animal Characteristics	Species, strain and starting body weight were provided (commercial source, rats and rabbits).	High	1	2	2	
Test Organism	14. Adequacy and Consistency of Animal Husbandry Conditions	Temperature and humidity controls. Compliance with animal care guidance was indicated.	Medium	2	1	2	
	15. Number per Group	4-5/sex for oral acute; 2/sex/group for dermal acute; adequate numbers for irritation.	Medium	2	1	2	
	16. Outcome Assessment Methodology	EPA requested further information from the TSCA 8e submitter (Velisicol Chemical Corp.) as follows: ""Please describe any gross pathological findings or clinical observation made on the test animals."	Medium	2	2	4	
Quitoomo	17. Consistency of Outcome Assessment	Details of the outcome assessment protocol were reported.	High	1	1	1	
Outcome Assessment	18. Sampling Adequacy	Details regarding sampling for the outcome(s) of interest were reported and the study used adequate sampling for the outcome(s) of interest.	High	1	1	1	
	19. Blinding of Assessors	Information in the study report did not report whether assessors were blinded to treatment group for objective outcomes	Low	3	1	3	

Study reference:	Irdc, (1978). Acu	te toxicity studies in ra with attachmen	bbits and rats with ts and cover letter (dodecane
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score
	20. Negative Control Response	No negative controls	Not Rated	NA	NA	NA
Confounding / Variable Control	21. Confounding Variables in Test Design and Procedures	There were no reported differences among the study groups in initial body weight that could influence the outcome assessment., Information on food or water intake, or respiratory rate was not reported.	High	1	2	2
	22. Health Outcomes Unrelated to Exposure	Data on attrition and/or health outcomes unrelated to exposure were not reported for each study group.	Low	3	1	3
	23. Statistical Methods	Provided references for statistical methods.	High	1	1	1
Data Presentation and Analysis	24. Reporting of Data	Data for exposure- related findings were presented for all outcomes by exposure group and sex.	High	1	2	2
		Sum of so	cores:		24	41
Medium: >=	l and <1.7 1.7 and <2.3	Overall Score = Su Scores/Sum of Metric		4	Overall Score: Nearest *:	4
Low: >=2.3 and <=3		Overall Quality Level:		Unacceptable		
Study Quality Comment:	The reviewer agreed with this study's overall quality level. Note: An overall score of 4 is given for any unacceptable study. A weighted average is not calculated for unacceptable studies.					

5. Animal toxicity evaluation results of Song et al 2016 (3350482) for acute and 14-day inhalation-systemic toxicity study on body weight, hematological and immune, clinical chemistry/biochemical, hepatic, renal, respiratory, reproductive outcomes

Study reference:	Song, N.,Li, L.,Li, H.,Ai, W.,Xie, W.,Yu, W.,Liu, W.,Wang, C.,Shen, G.,Zhou, L.,Wei, C.,Li, D.,Chen, H. (2016). Single and 14-day repeated dose inhalation toxicity studies of hexabromocyclododecane in rats Food and Chemical Toxicology, 91, 73-81						
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score	
	Test Substance Identity	Test substance was clearly identified by name and CASRN.	High	1	2	2	
Test Substance	2. Test Substance Source	The test substance source/manufacturer was identified however the batch/lot number was not reported	Medium	2	1	2	
	Test Substance Purity	The test substance purity was identified	High	1	1	1	
Test Design	4. Negative and Vehicle Controls	Negative control animals were included in the 14 day. No negative control required for acute study.	High	1	2	2	
, con zoong	5. Positive Controls	Positive controls not applicable.	Not Rated	NA	NA	NA	
	6. Randomized Allocation	Animals were randomly allocated to each group.	High	1	1	1	
	7. Preparation and Storage of Test Substance	The method and equipment used to generate the dust aerosol were reported and appropriate.	High	1	1	1	
Exposure	8. Consistency of Exposure Administration	Exposures were administered consistently.	High	1	1	1	
Characterization	9. Reporting of Doses/Concentrati ons	Target and measured concentrations, MMAD, and GSD were reported for all groups.	High	1	2	2	
	10. Exposure Frequency and Duration	Frequency and duration were reported.	High	1	1	1	

Exposure

Song, N., Li, L., Li, H., Ai, W., Xie, W., Yu, W., Liu, W., Wang, C., Shen, G., Zhou, L., Wei, C., Li, D., Chen, H. Study reference: (2016). Single and 14-day repeated dose inhalation toxicity studies of hexabromocyclododecane in rats Food and Chemical Toxicology, 91, 73-81 Qualitative Determination Metric Weighted Metric Weighting **Domain** Metric **Eval Comment** [i.e.,High,Medium Score Score Low, Unacceptab **Factor** le, or Not rated] The number of groups and spacing were 11. Number of reported along with 1 **Exposure Groups** 1 1 High rationale for and Dose Spacing concentration selection. The route and method 12. Exposure High 1 1 1 Route and Method were appropriate. The source, health status, species, strain, 13. Test Animal age, and sex were Medium 2 2 4 Characteristics reported. Initial body weight was not reported. **Test Organism** 14. Adequacy and All husbandry Consistency of conditions were High 1 1 1 Animal Husbandry reported and Conditions appropriate. The number of animals 15. Number per 1 1 1 per study group was High Group appropriate. Outcome assessment 16. Outcome methodology was Assessment 1 2 2 High reported and Methodology appropriate. 17. Consistency of Outcomes were Outcome High 1 1 1 assessed consistently. Assessment Outcome Assessment 18. Sampling Sampling size was 1 1 1 High Adequacy adequate. 19. Blinding of Blinding not required. Not Rated NA NA NA Assessors Negative control 20. Negative responses were High 1 1 1 Control Response appropriate. 21. Confounding No confounding Variables in Test variables in test design High 1 2 2 Design and were observed. Procedures Confounding / Variable Control 22. Health No health outcomes Outcomes unrelated to exposure High 1 1 1 Unrelated to were reported.

Study reference:		Song, N.,Li, L.,Li, H.,Ai, W.,Xie, W.,Yu, W.,Liu, W.,Wang, C.,Shen, G.,Zhou, L.,Wei, C.,Li, D.,Chen, H. (2016). Single and 14-day repeated dose inhalation toxicity studies of hexabromocyclododecane in rats Food and Chemical Toxicology, 91, 73-81						
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score		
Data Presentation and	23. Statistical Methods	Statistical methods were reported and appropriate.	High	1	1	1		
Analysis	24. Reporting of Data	Data were reported.	High	1	2	2		
		Sum of scores:			29	32		
Medium: >=	l and <1.7 1.7 and <2.3	Overall Score = Sum of Weighted Scores/Sum of Metric Weighting Factors:		1.1034	Overall Score: Nearest *:	1.1		
Low: >=2.3 and <=3		Overall Quality Level:		High				
Study Quality Comment:	The reviewer agreed with this study's overall quality level.							

6. Animal toxicity evaluation results of Szabo et al 2016 (3546063) for single gavage in mice on post-natal day 10; metabolomics evaluation only study on gene expression/omics outcomes

Study reference:	Szabo, D. T.,Pathmasiri, W.,Sumner, S.,Birnbaum, L. S. (2016). Serum Metabolomic Profiles in Neonatal Mice following Oral Brominated Flame Retardant Exposures to Hexabromocyclododecane (HBCD) Alpha, Gamma, and Commercial Mixture Environmental Healt Perspectives, 125(4), 651-659						
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score	
	1. Test Substance Identity	Chemical identity is clear; CAS #. provided Test substance is a commercial mixture of three stereoisomers. Percentages of each isomer are provided.	High	1	2	2	
	2. Test Substance Source	Sourced from Sigma- Aldrich	High	1	1	1	
Test Substance	3. Test Substance Purity	Percentages of isomers in commercial mixture were provided.; it is not indicated whether other impurities are present, but the study authors indicate that chemicals were purchased at the highest purity level available. The authors did, however, go through a stereoisomer separation and thermal conversion process and it is not clear how pure the samples were after this process. Additionally, dosing solutions were made using corn oil and toluene that was evaporated under vacuum. Whether there was any remaining toluene is unknown, although all samples, including controls were treated equally.	Medium	2	1	2	
Test Design	Negative and Vehicle Controls	Appropriate negative (vehicle) control was used.	High	1	2	2	

Study reference:	Szabo, D. T.,Pathmasiri, W.,Sumner, S.,Birnbaum, L. S. (2016). Serum Metabolomic Profiles Neonatal Mice following Oral Brominated Flame Retardant Exposures to Hexabromocyclododecane (HBCD) Alpha, Gamma, and Commercial Mixture Environmental He Perspectives, 125(4), 651-659						
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score	
	5. Positive Controls	Positive control not required.	Not Rated	NA	NA	NA	
	6. Randomized Allocation	Study does not indicate how dams and corresponding pups were allocated into treatment groups. Given the small number of total dams/litters (n = 7), and the fact that no statements are made indicating, for example, that dams and pup weights were equivalent, this introduces uncertainty that could impact results.	Low	3	1	3	
Exposure Characterization	7. Preparation and Storage of Test Substance	Study references previous publications for methods used for stereoisomer separation. Preparation of dosing solutions were appropriate. Since animals only received a single dose, storage of the dosing solutions were not necessary.	High	1	1	1	
	8. Consistency of Exposure Administration	Dosing was equivalent across treatment groups (all animals given 10mg/kg gavage of appropriate treatment)	High	1	1	1	
	9. Reporting of Doses/Concentrati ons	Doses were clearly stated	High	1	2	2	
	10. Exposure Frequency and Duration	Single exposure via gavage	High	1	1	1	
	11. Number of Exposure Groups and Dose Spacing	An explanation of chosen doses was provided	High	1	1	1	

Study reference:	Neon	Szabo, D. T.,Pathmasiri, W.,Sumner, S.,Birnbaum, L. S. (2016). Serum Metabolomic Profiles in Neonatal Mice following Oral Brominated Flame Retardant Exposures to Hexabromocyclododecane (HBCD) Alpha, Gamma, and Commercial Mixture Environmental Health Perspectives, 125(4), 651-659						
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score		
	12. Exposure Route and Method	Gavage was appropriate for pups that were still lactating, unclear whether 10ml/kg is appropriate though for pups that are PND10?	Medium	2	1	2		
	13. Test Animal Characteristics	Study clearly explains reasoning for choosing mice at this stage of development	High	1	2	2		
	14. Adequacy and Consistency of Animal Husbandry Conditions	Animal husbandry conditions were appropriate	High	1	1	1		
Test Organism	15. Number per Group	Study indicates that 6 female pups per litter (n = 7 litters total) were used for the experiment. Including the control, there is a total of 7 dose groups (control, 3-doses of alpha-HBCD, 2-doses of gamma HBCD, and a single dose of the commercial mixture). It is unclear how this would work, unless one litter was used exclusively as a control, and then 1 pup per litter (out of 6 remaining litters) received each treatment.? Overall, the total number of pups per treatment group is not explicitly stated and cannot be accurately inferred given the available data.	Low	3	1	3		

Study reference:	Neor	Szabo, D. T.,Pathmasiri, W.,Sumner, S.,Birnbaum, L. S. (2016). Serum Metabolomic Profile: Neonatal Mice following Oral Brominated Flame Retardant Exposures to Hexabromocyclododecane (HBCD) Alpha, Gamma, and Commercial Mixture Environmental H Perspectives, 125(4), 651-659						
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score		
Outcome Assessment	16. Outcome Assessment Methodology	Metabolomic assessment of the blood was done via NMR at a single time- point (4-days post- exposure), which generally could miss key transitional changes. However, the study authors indicate that this time point was chosen to coincide with previous data collected from various tissues, and therefore seems appropriate NMR has relatively low sensitivity compared with other analytical tools for metabolimics, and no power analysis was done to determine an appropriate sample size. It is not clear whether technical replicates were included in the methodology.	Medium	2	2	4		
	17. Consistency of Outcome Assessment	Outcome assessment appeared to be consistent across groups	High	1	1	1		

Study reference:	Szabo, D. T.,Pathmasiri, W.,Sumner, S.,Birnbaum, L. S. (2016). Serum Metabolomic Profiles in Neonatal Mice following Oral Brominated Flame Retardant Exposures to Hexabromocyclododecane (HBCD) Alpha, Gamma, and Commercial Mixture Environmental Health Perspectives, 125(4), 651-659					
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score
	18. Sampling Adequacy	Analysis was done on samples taken from 3 - 6 pups/ treatment group. The number of control samples were not stated. It is unclear whether the differences in sample numbers across treatment groups was because those were the total number of animals treated, or whether for some reason, in some cases, samples were only collected from three out of 6 treated animals. Three biological replicates for an omics-based study is an absolute minimum and greatly reduces statistical power and has increased noise.	Low	3	1	3
	19. Blinding of Assessors	Blinding was not indicated, but not necessarily applicable to NMR analysis	Not Rated	NA	NA	NA
	20. Negative Control Response	The responses of the controls are presumed to be appropriate	High	1	1	1

Study reference:	Szabo, D. T.,Pathmasiri, W.,Sumner, S.,Birnbaum, L. S. (2016). Serum Metabolomic Profiles Neonatal Mice following Oral Brominated Flame Retardant Exposures to Hexabromocyclododecane (HBCD) Alpha, Gamma, and Commercial Mixture Environmental H Perspectives, 125(4), 651-659						
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score	
Confounding / Variable Control	21. Confounding Variables in Test Design and Procedures	The study authors do not discuss potential confounding variables. It is mentioned that there were no changes in body weights between treated and controls following treatment, but no statements were made indicating that the initial health and weights of treated pups were equivalent across litters leaving the potential for unknown confounding variables. There is also a potential for litter effects,, however, this was presumably were taken into account in the study design by treating across litters.	Low	3	2	6	
	22. Health Outcomes Unrelated to Exposure	The study does not include observations (clinical or otherwise) of pups during or after dosing. It is still unclear why some treatment groups had three samples evaluated, and others had 6 samples evaluated, and whether this could potentially be due to problems with some of the animals, or if only three animals were treated.	Low	3	1	3	
	23. Statistical Methods	Statistical analysis was appropriate.	High	1	1	1	

Study reference:	Szabo, D. T.,Pathmasiri, W.,Sumner, S.,Birnbaum, L. S. (2016). Serum Metabolomic Profiles in Neonatal Mice following Oral Brominated Flame Retardant Exposures to Hexabromocyclododecane (HBCD) Alpha, Gamma, and Commercial Mixture Environmental Health Perspectives, 125(4), 651-659					
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score
Data Presentation and Analysis	24. Reporting of Data	Data presentation was adequate and appropriate for omics reporting Some data was presented in supplementary tables that were not available to view	High	1	2	2
	•		Sum of scores:		29	45
High: >=1 and <1.7 Medium: >=1.7 and <2.3		Overall Score = Sum of Weighted Scores/Sum of Metric Weighting Factors:		NA	Overall Score: Nearest *:	NA
Low: >=2	.3 and <=3	Overall Quality Level:		Medium		
Study Quality Comment:	The reviewer downgraded this study's overall quality rating. They noted: Problems with methods reporting (specifically the number of animals exposed/treatment group), as well as data indicating animals were of equivalent health and body weight at study initiation decrease confidence in the study results. Note: The original calculated score for this study was 1.5. This value is not presented above because the final rating was changed based on professional judgement.					

Short – Term Toxicity Studies

7. Animal toxicity evaluation results of Bernhard et al 2016 (3545918) for 28-day dietary study on hematological and immune, hepatic, adult body weight outcomes

Study reference:	Bernhard, A.,Berntssen, M. H.,Lundebye, A. K.,Røyneberg Alvheim, A.,Secher Myrmel, L.,Fjære, E.,Torstensen, B. E.,Kristiansen, K.,Madsen, L.,Brattelid, T.,Rasinger, J. D. (2016). Marine fatty acids aggravate hepatotoxicity of ?-HBCD in juvenile female BALB/c mice Food and Chemical Toxicology, 97, 411-423					
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score
Test Substance	Test Substance Identity	The test substance was identified definitively and the specific form, however CAS# was not provided	Medium	2	2	4
	2. Test Substance Source	alpha-HBCD was prepared from gamma- HBCD; however, the source of the alpha- HBCD was not reported	Low	3	1	3
	3. Test Substance Purity	Purity was not reported.	Low	3	1	3
	Negative and Vehicle Controls	Vehicle (DMSO) dietary control.	High	1	2	2
Test Design	5. Positive Controls	Positive controls are not needed for repeat dose studies.	Not Rated	NA	NA	NA
	6. Randomized Allocation	Animals were randomly assigned to groups.	High	1	1	1
Exposure Characterization	7. Preparation and Storage of Test Substance	Although feed and water was changed three times per week and feed intake was recorded, the authors did not indicate how often the diets were freshly prepared. Storage of the test substance was also not provided	Low	3	1	3
	8. Consistency of Exposure Administration	28-day repeat exposure according to OECD407 guidelines	High	1	1	1

Study reference:	Bernhard, A.,Berntssen, M. H.,Lundebye, A. K.,Røyneberg Alvheim, A.,Secher Myrmel, L.,Fjære E.,Torstensen, B. E.,Kristiansen, K.,Madsen, L.,Brattelid, T.,Rasinger, J. D. (2016). Marine fatty acids aggravate hepatotoxicity of ?-HBCD in juvenile female BALB/c mice Food and Chemical Toxicology, 97, 411-423					rine fatty
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score
	9. Reporting of Doses/Concentrati ons	Diets were analyzed, and daily doses were calculated based on body weights and estimate food intake (15% w/w).	High	1	2	2
	10. Exposure Frequency and Duration	28-day, continuous exposure.	High	1	1	1
	11. Number of Exposure Groups and Dose Spacing	Dose levels and spacing were justified by the study authors. Selected dose produced a range of responses.	High	1	1	1
	12. Exposure Route and Method	Oral - feeding study	High	1	1	1
Test Organism	13. Test Animal Characteristics	Species, strain, sex and starting age were reported (commercial source0.	High	1	2	2
	14. Adequacy and Consistency of Animal Husbandry Conditions	Husbandry conditions were reported and appropriate.	High	1	1	1
	15. Number per Group	Eight animals per experimental group	High	1	1	1
Outcome Assessment	16. Outcome Assessment Methodology	Multiple measures of liver effects	High	1	2	2
	17. Consistency of Outcome Assessment	outcomes were assessed consistently across study groups	High	1	1	1
	18. Sampling Adequacy	Only 3-4 /group for histopathology and serum chemistry.	Medium	2	1	2
	19. Blinding of Assessors	Blinding was not reported	Low	3	1	3
	20. Negative Control Response	Vehicle control was used and appropriate	High	1	1	1
Confounding / Variable Control	21. Confounding Variables in Test Design and Procedures	Food consumption did not differ among groups.	High	1	2	2

Study reference:	Bernhard, A.,Berntssen, M. H.,Lundebye, A. K.,Røyneberg Alvheim, A.,Secher Myrmel, L.,Fjære, E.,Torstensen, B. E.,Kristiansen, K.,Madsen, L.,Brattelid, T.,Rasinger, J. D. (2016). Marine fatty acids aggravate hepatotoxicity of ?-HBCD in juvenile female BALB/c mice Food and Chemical Toxicology, 97, 411-423					
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score
	22. Health Outcomes Unrelated to Exposure	Data on attrition and/or health outcomes unrelated to exposure were not reported for each study group.	Low	3	1	3
Data Presentation and	23. Statistical Methods	Appropriate and detailed statistical methods were reported	High	1	1	1
Analysis	24. Reporting of Data	Incidence data were not provided for liver histopathology.	Medium	2	2	4
	•		Sum of scores:		30	45
High: >=1 and <1.7 Medium: >=1.7 and <2.3 Low: >=2.3 and <=3		Overall Score = Sum of Weighted Scores/Sum of Metric Weighting Factors:		1.5	Overall Score: Nearest *:	1.5
		Overall Quality Level:		High		
Study Quality Comment:	The reviewer agreed with this study's overall quality level.					

8. Animal toxicity evaluation results of Genskow et al 2015 (2919804) for 30 day oral toxicity study (daily gavage); primarily mechanistic, also contains in vitro data study on neurological/behavior outcomes

Study reference:	Genskow, K. R.,Bradner, J. M.,Hossain, M. M.,Richardson, J. R.,Caudle, W. M. (2015). Selective damage to dopaminergic transporters following exposure to the brominated flame retardant, HBCDD Neurotoxicology and Teratology, 52(Pt B), 162-169					
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score
	Test Substance Identity	Test substance name was provided but CAS# was not provided	Medium	2	2	4
Test Substance	2. Test Substance Source	Test substance source was provide but batch or lot number was not reported	Medium	2	1	2
	3. Test Substance Purity	Purity of the test substance is not reported	Low	3	1	3
	Negative and Vehicle Controls	Vehicle control reported	High	1	2	2
Test Design	5. Positive Controls	A positive control was not necessary, but could have provided useful information in this study that would aid in the interpretation of the results	Not Rated	NA	NA	NA
	6. Randomized Allocation	The study does not indicate whether animals were randomized, the endpoints evaluated were more mechanistic in nature, and may not have been impacted greatly by randomization.	Medium	2	1	2
Exposure Characterization	7. Preparation and Storage of Test Substance	Details of preparation, frequency of preparation, and storage were lacking	Low	3	1	3
	8. Consistency of Exposure Administration	Control and treatment groups were treated consistently	High	1	1	1

Genskow, K. R., Bradner, J. M., Hossain, M. M., Richardson, J. R., Caudle, W. M. (2015). Selective Study reference: damage to dopaminergic transporters following exposure to the brominated flame retardant, HBCDD Neurotoxicology and Teratology, 52(Pt B), 162-169 Qualitative Determination Metric Weighted Metric **Domain** Metric **Eval Comment** [i.e.,High,Medium Weighting Score Score ,Low,Unacceptab **Factor** le, or Not rated] Dose concentrations 9. Reporting of were clearly reported; Doses/Concentrati however, no validation Medium 2 2 4 ons of dose was performed by the study authors. Exposure frequency 10. Exposure and duration were Frequency and 1 1 1 High Duration clearly reported Single dose exposure that did not induce effects for several endpoints measured. It is unclear whether HBCD indeed has no 11. Number of effect, or whether a **Exposure Groups** 2 2 Medium 1 dose-limit was not and Dose Spacing reached NK: Single dose exposure, daily for 30 days. Control had 4 mice and treatment group had 6 mice. Exposure route and 12. Exposure method were 1 1 1 High Route and Method acceptable. Animals (C57BL/6 male mice) were purchased at 8weeks old and the mice were treated when they 13. Test Animal were 3 months old (4 Medium 2 2 4 Characteristics weeks later). Animals generally get acclimatized for a week but 4 weeks **Test Organism** seem a bit odd. Animal husbandry details were not provided, but the study 14. Adequacy and authors state that Consistency of 2 2 Medium 1 procedures were Animal Husbandry conducted in Conditions accordance with the guide for care and use of laboratory animals

Genskow, K. R., Bradner, J. M., Hossain, M. M., Richardson, J. R., Caudle, W. M. (2015). Selective Study reference: damage to dopaminergic transporters following exposure to the brominated flame retardant, HBCDD Neurotoxicology and Teratology, 52(Pt B), 162-169 Qualitative Determination Metric Weighted Metric **Domain** Metric **Eval Comment** [i.e.,High,Medium Weighting Score Score ,Low,Unacceptab **Factor** le, or Not rated] Four control animals and 6 treated animals of a single sex were used. OECD 15. Number per guidelines for 28-day Medium 2 1 2 Group toxicity studies recommends an n of 10 (5 animals of each sex). The outcome assessment methodology 16. Outcome addressed or reported the intended High 1 2 2 Assessment outcome(s) of interest Methodology and was sensitive for the outcome(s) of interest. Details of the outcome assessment protocol 17. Consistency of were reported, and Outcome 1 1 Outcome High 1 outcomes were **Assessment** Assessment assessed consistently across study groups The study reported adequate sampling for 18. Sampling High 1 1 1 Adequacy the outcome(s) of interest 19. Blinding of Blinding is not required Not Rated NA NA NA Assessors for this methodology Control responses 20. Negative appear to be High 1 1 1 Control Response appropriate No confounding variables were noted, however, data 21. Confounding regarding other Variables in Test potential exposure-Medium 2 2 4 Design and related effects (i.e.,, Procedures Confounding / potential effects on Variable Control body weight), were not included in the report. 22. Health This information was Outcomes not included in the Medium 2 1 2 Unrelated to study report or in the Exposure study design.

Study reference:		nskow, K. R.,Bradner, J. M.,Hossain, M. M.,Richardson, J. R.,Caudle, W. M. (2015). Selective mage to dopaminergic transporters following exposure to the brominated flame retardant, HBCDD Neurotoxicology and Teratology, 52(Pt B), 162-169					
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score	
Data	23. Statistical Methods	Statistical analysis was acceptable	High	1	1	1	
Presentation and Analysis	24. Reporting of Data	Reporting of data (for the methods used) was acceptable.	High	1	2	2	
		Sum of scores:			29	47	
Medium: >=	l and <1.7 -1.7 and <2.3	Overall Score = Sum of Weighted Scores/Sum of Metric Weighting Factors:		NA	Overall Score: Nearest *:	NA	
Low: >=2	Low: >=2.3 and <=3		Overall Quality Level:		Medium		
Study Quality Comment: The reviewer downgraded this study's overall quality rating. They noted: Downgraded the study from 'high' to 'medium' because this is primarily a mechanistic study. The small part of the study that is animal toxicity study with just one dose and has fewer animals (n=4 for control) and n=6 for treatment group). Note: The original calculated score for this study was 1.6. This value is not presented above because the final rating was changed based on professional judgement.					the study and n=6 for ue is not		

9. Animal toxicity evaluation results of Hachisuka et al 2010 (1403765) for oral developmental immunotoxicity study on hematological and immune outcomes

Study reference:	perinatal exposu	Hachisuka, A.,Nakamura, R.,Sato, Y.,Nakamura, R.,Shibutani, M.,Teshima, R. (2010). [Effects of perinatal exposure to the brominated flame-retardant hexabromocyclododecane (HBCD) on the developing immune system in rats] Kokuritsu lyakuhin Shokuhin Eisei Kenkyusho Hokoku, [2010](128), 58-64						
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score		
	Test Substance Identity	Test substance identified by name.	Medium	2	2	4		
Test Substance	2. Test Substance Source	Source not identified.	Low	3	1	3		
	3. Test Substance Purity	Composition and purity not reported.	Low	3	1	3		
	Negative and Vehicle Controls	Concurrent negative control animals are included.	High	1	2	2		
Test Design	5. Positive Controls	Positive controls not required.	Not Rated	NA	NA	NA		
	6. Randomized Allocation	Allocation methods were not reported.	Low	3	1	3		
	7. Preparation and Storage of Test Substance	Limited details on preparation (mixed into the food) and no information on storage and stability were reported.	Low	3	1	3		
	8. Consistency of Exposure Administration	Animals were allowed to feed freely on the diet, but no details on the amount of diet provided was reported.	Medium	2	1	2		
Exposure Characterization	9. Reporting of Doses/Concentrati ons	Concentrations were reported.	High	1	2	2		
	10. Exposure Frequency and Duration	Exposure duration was reported.	High	1	1	1		
	11. Number of Exposure Groups and Dose Spacing	The number of exposure groups and spacing were reported, but not justified.	Medium	2	1	2		
	12. Exposure Route and Method	The exposure route and method were appropriate.	High	1	1	1		

Study reference:	Hachisuka, A.,Nakamura, R.,Sato, Y.,Nakamura, R.,Shibutani, M.,Teshima, R. (2010). [Effects of perinatal exposure to the brominated flame-retardant hexabromocyclododecane (HBCD) on the developing immune system in rats] Kokuritsu Iyakuhin Shokuhin Eisei Kenkyusho Hokoku, [2010](128), 58-64						
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score	
	13. Test Animal Characteristics	The species, strain, and sex were reported. The source and starting body weight of dams were not reported.	Low	3	2	6	
Test Organism	14. Adequacy and Consistency of Animal Husbandry Conditions	Details were not reported.	Low	3	1	3	
	15. Number per Group	The number of animals per group was appropriate.	High	1	1	1	
Outcome	16. Outcome Assessment Methodology	Outcome assessment methodology was reported for some outcomes-hematology, thymus and spleen weight and pathology, and immunity. Other outcomes assessment methodology, including body weight and weight gain, were not reported.	Medium	2	2	4	
Assessment	17. Consistency of Outcome Assessment	Outcomes were assessed consistently.	High	1	1	1	
	18. Sampling Adequacy	Sampling for some outcomes was not reported or illegible.	Medium	2	1	2	
	19. Blinding of Assessors	Blinding not required.	Not Rated	NA	NA	NA	
	20. Negative Control Response	Negative control responses were appropriate.	High	1	1	1	
Confounding / Variable Control	21. Confounding Variables in Test Design and Procedures	Initial body weight and food/water intake of same were not reported and appear not to have been measured.	Low	3	2	6	

Study reference:	perinatal exposu	Hachisuka, A.,Nakamura, R.,Sato, Y.,Nakamura, R.,Shibutani, M.,Teshima, R. (2010). [Effects of perinatal exposure to the brominated flame-retardant hexabromocyclododecane (HBCD) on the developing immune system in rats] Kokuritsu Iyakuhin Shokuhin Eisei Kenkyusho Hokoku, [2010](128), 58-64					
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score	
	22. Health Outcomes Unrelated to Exposure	There were not reported differences among the groups in health outcomes unrelated to exposures.	High	1	1	1	
Data Presentation and	23. Statistical Methods	Statistical methods were not described but were conducted, and data were provided to conduct an independent analysis.	Medium	2	1	2	
Analysis	24. Reporting of Data	Data were reported by groups; however, it appears that not all outcomes were reported by sex.	Medium	2	2	4	
		Sum of so	cores:		29	57	
Medium: >=	1.7 and <2.3	Overall Score = Su Scores/Sum of Metric		1.9655	Overall Score: Nearest *:	2	
Low: >=2.3 and <=3		Overall Quality Level:		Medium			
Study Quality Comment:		The reviewer agreed with this study's overall quality level.					

10. Animal toxicity evaluation results of Maranghi et al 2013 (1927558) for 28-day dietary study on hepatic, body weight, thyroid, hematological and immune, reproductive outcomes

thyroid, nematological and immune, reproductive outcomes							
C.,Lundeby polyhalogen	Maranghi, F.,Tassinari, R.,Moracci, G.,Altieri, I.,Rasinger, J. D.,Carroll, T. S.,Hogstrand, C.,Lundebye, A. K.,Mantovani, A. (2013). Dietary exposure of juvenile female mice to polyhalogenated seafood contaminants (HBCD, BDE-47, PCB-153, TCDD): comparative assessment of effects in potential target tissues Food and Chemical Toxicology, 56, 443-449						
Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score		
Test Substance Identity	Chemical name provided, no CAS #, and no structure provided.	Medium	2	2	4		
2. Test Substance Source	The source was no reported, no verification or analytical assessment	Low	3	1	3		
3. Test Substance Purity	Substance purity was not provided	Low	3	1	3		
Negative and Vehicle Controls	An appropriate negative control was used	High	1	2	2		
5. Positive Controls	Positive control was not required	Not Rated	NA	NA	NA		
6. Randomized Allocation	Mice were allocated at random; method used was not detailed	High	1	1	1		
7. Preparation and Storage of Test Substance	Preparation of exposure diets were described; however, the frequency of preparation and details of storage were not indicated.	Medium	2	1	2		
	Maranghi, F. C.,Lundeby polyhalogen assessment of Metric 1. Test Substance Identity 2. Test Substance Source 3. Test Substance Purity 4. Negative and Vehicle Controls 5. Positive Controls 6. Randomized Allocation 7. Preparation and Storage of Test	Maranghi, F.,Tassinari, R.,Moracci, C.,Lundebye, A. K.,Mantovani, A. (polyhalogenated seafood contamin assessment of effects in potential targeta Metric Eval Comment 1. Test Substance Identity Chemical name provided, no CAS #, and no structure provided. 2. Test Substance Source Chemical name provided, no CAS #, and no structure provided. The source was no reported, no verification or analytical assessment 3. Test Substance Purity Chemical Provided 4. Negative and Vehicle Controls Controls Control was not provided 5. Positive Control was not required Control was not required Mice were allocated at random; method used was not detailed Chemical Preparation of exposure diets were described; however, the frequency of preparation and details of storage were not control was not detailed Chemical Preparation and details of storage were not control was preparation and details of storage were not control was preparation and details of storage were not control was preparation and details of storage were not control was preparation and details of storage were not control was preparation and details of storage were not control was preparation and details of storage were not control was preparation and details of storage were not control was preparation and details of storage were not control was preparation and details of storage were not control was preparation and details of storage were not control was preparation and details of storage were not control was preparation and details of storage were not control was preparation and details of storage were not control was preparation and details of storage were not control was preparation and details of storage were not control was preparation and details of storage were not control was provided.	Maranghi, F.,Tassinari, R.,Moracci, G.,Altieri, I.,Rasing C.,Lundebye, A. K.,Mantovani, A. (2013). Dietary expepolyhalogenated seafood contaminants (HBCD, BDE-4 assessment of effects in potential target tissues Food and Metric Metric	Maranghi, F.,Tassinari, R.,Moracci, G.,Altieri, I.,Rasinger, J. D.,Car C.,Lundebye, A. K.,Mantovani, A. (2013). Dietary exposure of juv polyhalogenated seafood contaminants (HBCD, BDE-47, PCB-153 assessment of effects in potential target tissues Food and Chemical Metric Eval Comment Chemical name provided, no CAS #, and no structure provided. The source was no reported, no verification or analytical assessment Test Substance Purity An appropriate negative control was used S. Positive Controls Fositive Controls An appropriate negative control was not required Allocation Metric Chemical name provided, no CAS #, and no structure provided. Low 3 and Medium 2 between tissues Food and Chemical Metric Score Medium 2 core Medium 3 core Low 3 core High 1 core Not Rated NA Mice were allocated at random; method used was not detailed Preparation of exposure diets were described; however, the frequency of preparation and details of storage were not core Not Ratie III and the provided of the preparation and details of storage were not control was of storage were not core in the provided of the provided	Maranghi, F.,Tassinari, R.,Moracci, G.,Altieri, I.,Rasinger, J. D.,Carroll, T. S.,Hogstr C.,Lundebye, A. K.,Mantovani, A. (2013). Dietary exposure of juvenile female mic polyhalogenated seafood contaminants (HBCD, BDE-47, PCB-153, TCDD): comparassessment of effects in potential target tissues Food and Chemical Toxicology, 56, Metric Metric		

High

Exposure was

consistent across groups. - Animals were

restricted to 15% w/w food intake.

8. Consistency of

Exposure

Administration

[PAGE * MERGEFORMAT]

1

1

1

Study reference:	C.,Lundeby polyhalogen	Maranghi, F.,Tassinari, R.,Moracci, G.,Altieri, I.,Rasinger, J. D.,Carroll, T. S.,Hogstrand, C.,Lundebye, A. K.,Mantovani, A. (2013). Dietary exposure of juvenile female mice to polyhalogenated seafood contaminants (HBCD, BDE-47, PCB-153, TCDD): comparative assessment of effects in potential target tissues Food and Chemical Toxicology, 56, 443-449					
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score	
	9. Reporting of Doses/Concentrati ons	Do to methodological limitations, the intended HBCD concentration in feed could not be verified. It was therefore presumed that the concentration was equivalent to the intended dose. Analysis of other chemicals evaluated in the same study, indicated they were essentially the same as the intended inclusion levels.	Medium	2	2	4	
	10. Exposure Frequency and Duration	Frequency and duration were clearly reported	High	1	1	1	
	11. Number of Exposure Groups and Dose Spacing	Single dose and a control Justification of dose was provided.	High	1	1	1	
	12. Exposure Route and Method	Exposure route and method was acceptable	High	1	1	1	
	13. Test Animal Characteristics	Appropriate test organism	High	1	2	2	
Test Organism	14. Adequacy and Consistency of Animal Husbandry Conditions	Animal husbandry acceptable	High	1	1	1	
	15. Number per Group	15/control group 10/treatment group	High	1	1	1	
	16. Outcome Assessment Methodology	Methods of outcome assessment were appropriate.	High	1	2	2	
Outcome	17. Consistency of Outcome Assessment	Outcomes were assessed consistently across groups	High	1	1	1	
Assessment	18. Sampling Adequacy	Sampling sizes were adequate	High	1	1	1	
	19. Blinding of Assessors	Blinding of assessors was not reported but is not required for initial histology evaluation.	Medium	2	1	2	

Study reference:	C.,Lundeby polyhalogen	Maranghi, F.,Tassinari, R.,Moracci, G.,Altieri, I.,Rasinger, J. D.,Carroll, T. S.,Hogstrand, C.,Lundebye, A. K.,Mantovani, A. (2013). Dietary exposure of juvenile female mice to polyhalogenated seafood contaminants (HBCD, BDE-47, PCB-153, TCDD): comparative assessment of effects in potential target tissues Food and Chemical Toxicology, 56, 443-449					
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score	
	20. Negative Control Response	No abnormal control responses were reported	High	1	1	1	
Confounding /	21. Confounding Variables in Test Design and Procedures	No confounding variables were identified.	High	1	2	2	
Variable Control	22. Health Outcomes Unrelated to Exposure	There were no unrelated exposure health outcomes	High	1	1	1	
Data Presentation and	23. Statistical Methods	Appropriate statistical methods were utilized	High	1	1	1	
Analysis	24. Reporting of Data	Data reporting was acceptable	High	1	2	2	
		Sum of so	cores:		30	40	
Medium: >=	l and <1.7 1.7 and <2.3	Overall Score = Su Scores/Sum of Metric		1.3333	Overall Score: Nearest *:	1.3	
Low: >=2.3 and <=3		Overall Quality Level:		High			
Study Quality Comment:	The reviewer agreed with this study's overall quality level.						

11. Animal toxicity evaluation results of Miller et al 2016 (3350495) for mechanism of liver and thyroid toxicity study on hepatic, thyroid outcomes

Miller, I., Serchi, T., Cambier, S., Diepenbroek, C., Renaut, J., Van der Berg, J. H., Kwadijk, C., Gutleb, A. Study reference: C., Rijntjes, E., Murk, A. J. (2016). Hexabromocyclododecane (HBCD) induced changes in the liver proteome of eu- and hypothyroid female rats Toxicology Letters, 245, 40-51 Qualitative Determination Metric Metric Weighted **Domain** Metric **Eval Comment** [i.e.,High,Medium Weighting Score Score ,Low,Unacceptab **Factor** le, or Not rated] Test substance 1. Test Substance identified by name. No 2 4 Medium 2 Identity CAS # or other details were provided **Test Substance** Source or 2. Test Substance 3 1 3 manufacturer was not Low Source identified. 3. Test Substance Purity of the substance Low 3 1 3 Purity was not provided 4. Negative and Concurrent negative High 1 2 2 Vehicle Controls controls were included. 5. Positive Positive controls were NA **Test Design** Not Rated NA NA Controls not required. 6. Randomized Allocation methods 3 1 3 Low Allocation were not reported. Preparation of the test 7. Preparation and substance was Storage of Test reported, but storage Medium 2 1 2 Substance prior to administration was not reported .. 8. Consistency of Exposures were 1 1 Exposure 1 administered High Administration consistently. 9. Reporting of Appropriate doses **Exposure** Doses/Concentrati 1 2 2 High were reported Characterization ons 10. Exposure Frequency and Frequency and duration were 1 1 1 High Duration reported. 11. Number of The number of groups 1 **Exposure Groups** and spacing were High 1 1 and Dose Spacing reported 12. Exposure The route and method High 1 1 1 Route and Method were appropriate.

Miller, I., Serchi, T., Cambier, S., Diepenbroek, C., Renaut, J., Van der Berg, J. H., Kwadijk, C., Gutleb, A. Study reference: C., Rijntjes, E., Murk, A. J. (2016). Hexabromocyclododecane (HBCD) induced changes in the liver proteome of eu- and hypothyroid female rats Toxicology Letters, 245, 40-51 Qualitative Determination Metric Metric Weighted **Domain** Metric **Eval Comment** [i.e.,High,Medium Weighting Score Score ,Low,Unacceptab **Factor** le, or Not rated] The source, species, strain, and age were reported. Initial body weight was not reported. Some 13. Test Animal 2 2 4 animals were iodine Medium Characteristics depleted to create a hypothyroid state resulting in 2 groups, normal and **Test Organism** hypothyroid. The temperature, 14. Adequacy and humidity, lighting, Consistency of water, and diet were Medium 2 1 2 Animal Husbandry reported. No other Conditions details were reported. The number of animals 15. Number per 1 1 1 per group was High Group appropriate. Outcome assessment 16. Outcome methodology was 1 2 2 Assessment High reported and Methodology appropriate. 17. Consistency of Outcomes were Outcome 1 1 1 High assessed consistently. Assessment Outcome Assessment 18. Sampling Sampling was 1 1 1 High adequate. Adequacy 19. Blinding of Blinding was not Not Rated NA NA NA Assessors required. Negative control 20. Negative responses were High 1 1 1 Control Response appropriate. 21. Confounding lodine depletion may Variables in Test 2 2 have an effect on the 4 Medium Design and results Procedures Confounding / Variable Control 22. Health One group of animals Outcomes were exposed in a Medium 2 1 2 Unrelated to hypothyroid state. Exposure Statistical methods 23. Statistical were reported and High 1 1 1 Data Methods appropriate. Presentation and **Analysis** 24. Reporting of Data were reported. High 1 2 2 Data

Study reference:	Miller, I.,Serchi, T.,Cambier, S.,Diepenbroek, C.,Renaut, J.,Van der Berg, J. H.,Kwadijk, C.,Gutleb, A. C.,Rijntjes, E.,Murk, A. J. (2016). Hexabromocyclododecane (HBCD) induced changes in the liver proteome of eu- and hypothyroid female rats Toxicology Letters, 245, 40-51						
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score	
		Sum of scores:			29	44	
Medium: >=	High: >=1 and <1.7 Medium: >=1.7 and <2.3 Low: >=2.3 and <=3		Overall Score = Sum of Weighted Scores/Sum of Metric Weighting Factors:		Overall Score: Nearest *:	NA	
Low: >=2			Overall Quality Level:		Medium		
Study Quality Comment: The reviewer downgraded this study's overall quality rating. They noted: This seem to be a well conducted study; however, one major flaw is that the source of HBCD was not reported. Not sure if the chemical was prepared in the lab or purchased from a manufacturer. Left the rating for metric 2 as low, but could be changed to unacceptable since information on test material source, manufacturer, purity, other analytical details of HBCD was not provided. Other parts of the study was appropriately conducted. Note: The original calculated score for this study was 1.5. This value is not presented above because the final rating was changed based on professional judgement.							

12. Animal toxicity evaluation results of Miller-Rhodes et al 2014 (2528337) for developmental study; gestation day 1-parturition study on growth (early life) and development, neurological/behavior outcomes

Study reference:	Prenatal exposur	Miller-Rhodes, P.,Popescu, M.,Goeke, C.,Tirabassi, T.,Johnson, L.,Markowski, V. P. (2014). Prenatal exposure to the brominated flame retardant hexabromocyclododecane (HBCD) impairs measures of sustained attention and increases age-related morbidity in the Long-Evans rat Neurotoxicology and Teratology, 45, 34-43						
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score		
	Test Substance Identity	Name and product number provided	High	1	2	2		
Test Substance	2. Test Substance Source	Commercial source	High	1	1	1		
	3. Test Substance Purity	Purity >95%	High	1	1	1		
	Negative and Vehicle Controls	Use of vehicle control	High	1	2	2		
Test Design	5. Positive Controls	Positive control not necessary	Not Rated	NA	NA	NA		
	6. Randomized Allocation	Randomized block design	High	1	1	1		
	7. Preparation and Storage of Test Substance	Prepared fresh daily, properly mixed.	High	1	1	1		
	8. Consistency of Exposure Administration	Exposure consistent across groups	High	1	1	1		
Exposure Characterization	9. Reporting of Doses/Concentrati ons	concentrations were reported	High	1	2	2		
Characterization	10. Exposure Frequency and Duration	Daily gavage	High	1	1	1		
	11. Number of Exposure Groups and Dose Spacing	Three dose groups and a control	High	1	1	1		
	12. Exposure Route and Method	Gavage	High	1	1	1		
Test Organism	13. Test Animal Characteristics	Standard animal model used (Long Evans rats)	High	1	2	2		
	14. Adequacy and Consistency of Animal Husbandry Conditions	Animal husbandry was reported and acceptable	High	1	1	1		

Study reference:	Miller-Rhodes, P.,Popescu, M.,Goeke, C.,Tirabassi, T.,Johnson, L.,Markowski, V. P. (Prenatal exposure to the brominated flame retardant hexabromocyclododecane (HBCD measures of sustained attention and increases age-related morbidity in the Long-Event Neurotoxicology and Teratology, 45, 34-43					
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score
	15. Number per Group	10-11 pregnant dams/treatment group. (litters culled to 8 pups using randomized selection procedure)	High	1	1	1
	16. Outcome Assessment Methodology	Outcome assessment methods were appropriate	High	1	2	2
	17. Consistency of Outcome Assessment	Outcomes were assessed consistently across groups	High	1	1	1
Outcome Assessment	18. Sampling Adequacy	It is unclear the number of animals evaluated for each outcome. The "n" is consistently stated. Although it was mentioned that litters were culled to 8 pups, there were a number of deaths, so it is not clear how many were left for further analysis. It is stated that every pup in each litter was examined, for example, for FOB tests, but it is not known what differences in n there is between exposure groups, or if there are any. In some cases, it is mentioned that one male and one female from each litter were used for some endpoints, but it is not clear this was always the case.	Low	3	1	3
	19. Blinding of Assessors	Stated that observers were blind to the exposure group	High	1	1	1

Study reference:	Miller-Rhodes, P.,Popescu, M.,Goeke, C.,Tirabassi, T.,Johnson, L.,Markowski, V. P. (2014). Prenatal exposure to the brominated flame retardant hexabromocyclododecane (HBCD) impairs measures of sustained attention and increases age-related morbidity in the Long-Evans rat Neurotoxicology and Teratology, 45, 34-43					
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score
	20. Negative Control Response	Study authors indicate that the mean gestation length of the control group was shorter than typically expected for these rats, which may be the reason why HBCD treated rats appeared to have a longer gestation period.	Medium	2	1	2
	21. Confounding Variables in Test Design and Procedures	Study authors mention that the ability to detect an exposure effect for locomotor activity could have been confounded by different body size to chamber size ratios. It was also mentioned that paw sizes were not taken into account for the grip strength tests	Medium	2	2	4
Confounding / Variable Control	22. Health Outcomes Unrelated to Exposure	There were a number of animals that disproportionately died unexpectedly or became ill. The authors indicate that data from these animals were not used for several of the analyses. Since the actual numbers of animals effected were not reported, it is unclear how this impacted the analyses or the actual number of animals evaluated for each endpoint. The timing of when these animals died, or became ill is also not reported.	Low	3	1	3

Study reference:	Prenatal exposu	Miller-Rhodes, P.,Popescu, M.,Goeke, C.,Tirabassi, T.,Johnson, L.,Markowski, V. P. (2014). Prenatal exposure to the brominated flame retardant hexabromocyclododecane (HBCD) impairs measures of sustained attention and increases age-related morbidity in the Long-Evans rat Neurotoxicology and Teratology, 45, 34-43					
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score	
	23. Statistical Methods	The described statistical analysis was appropriate, and the litter was used as the unit of analysis for offspring endpoints, however, results from statistical analysis were not shown in any of the figures making it difficult to easily interpret the data. In most instances, pvalues were provided within the text.	Medium	2	1	2	
Presentation and Analysis		No individual offspring animal data were reported; therefore, the data cannot be independently reviewed. Additionally, most data are reported in the form of bar graphs, and text does not provide the quantal values. Data from males and females were often pooled and averaged, and therefore not reported independently.	Low	3	2	6	
		Sum of so	cores:		30	42	
Medium: >=	l and <1.7 1.7 and <2.3	Overall Score = Su Scores/Sum of Metric	_	NA	Overall Score: Nearest *:	NA	
LOW: >=2.	Low: >=2.3 and <=3		ity Level:	Medium			
Study Quality Comment:	The reviewer downgraded this study's overall quality rating. They noted: The lack of individual animal data, and the way the data is presented, make it difficult to interpret the data. Additionally, the lack of clarity regarding the number of animals evaluated should be considered. There was also a large number of animals that became ill. Without further transparency or information, it is difficult to know how this could have impacted the various results with the data provided Note: The original calculated score for this study was 1.4. This value is not presented above because the final rating was changed based on professional judgement.						

13. Animal toxicity evaluation results of van et al 2006 (787745) for 280day oral toxicity study (gavage) study on hepatic, clinical chemistry/biochemical, endocrine, musculoskeletal/motor function, ADME/PBPK, thyroid, nutrition and metabolic/adult exposure body weight, hematological and immune, reproductive outcomes

Study reference:	T.,Herlin, M.,Hå	van der Ven, L. T.,Verhoef, A.,van de Kuil, T.,Slob, W.,Leonards, P. E.,Visser, T. J.,Hamers, T.,Herlin, M.,Håkansson, H.,Olausson, H.,Piersma, A. H.,Vos, J. G. (2006). A 28-day oral dose toxicity study enhanced to detect endocrine effects of hexabromocyclododecane in Wistar rats Toxicological Sciences, 94(2), 281-292							
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score			
Test Substance	1. Test Substance Identity	The test substance was identified definitively and characterized. HBCD technical preparation is a mixture of three enantiomers, HBCD-alpha-beta-, and gamma, and their respective proportion in the used batch was 10.28, 8.72, and 81.01%, respectively.	High	1	2	2			
	2. Test Substance Source	The source (manufacturer) of the test substance was reported, but the batch/lot numbers were omitted; this omission is unlikely to have a substantial impact on results.	Medium	2	1	2			
	3. Test Substance Purity	The test substance was noted to be technical HBCD as a mixture of three enantiomers, HBCD- alpha- beta-, and gamma, with respective proportions as 10.28, 8.72, and 81.01%, respectively. Trace impurities were identified as traces of tetra- and pentabromocyclodode cane.	High	1	1	1			

Study reference:	T.,Herlin, M.,Hå	van der Ven, L. T.,Verhoef, A.,van de Kuil, T.,Slob, W.,Leonards, P. E.,Visser, T. J.,Hamers, T.,Herlin, M.,Håkansson, H.,Olausson, H.,Piersma, A. H.,Vos, J. G. (2006). A 28-day oral dos toxicity study enhanced to detect endocrine effects of hexabromocyclododecane in Wistar ra Toxicological Sciences, 94(2), 281-292						
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score		
	Negative and Vehicle Controls	An appropriate concurrent negative control group was included.	High	1	2	2		
	5. Positive Controls	The use of a positive control was reported for the UDP-glucuronosyltransferas e assay. This metric was not rated/applicable for the other evaluations in the study.	Medium	2	1	2		
Test Design	6. Randomized Allocation	"The experimental protocol followed the OECD407 28-day subacute toxicity guideline, which was enhanced for endocrine and immunological endpoints (Andrews et al., 2001). However, in contrast to the published protocol, the animals were distributed among more dose groups each with fewer animals, that is, five rats per sex per dose group, for improved assessment of dose response relationships (Kavlock et al., 1996; Slob, 2002)." It is unclear if this would have a substantial impact on results.	Medium	2	1	2		

Study reference:	T.,Herlin, M.,Hå	van der Ven, L. T.,Verhoef, A.,van de Kuil, T.,Slob, W.,Leonards, P. E.,Visser, T. J.,Hamers, T.,Herlin, M.,Håkansson, H.,Olausson, H.,Piersma, A. H.,Vos, J. G. (2006). A 28-day oral dose toxicity study enhanced to detect endocrine effects of hexabromocyclododecane in Wistar rate Toxicological Sciences, 94(2), 281-292						
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score		
	7. Preparation and Storage of Test Substance	Test substance preparation was reported, but with limitations in reporting. HBCD was reported to be dissolved in corn oil. It is not reported how often the test solution was prepared or how it was stored. This omission is unlikely to have a substantial impact on results.	Medium	2	1	2		
	8. Consistency of Exposure Administration	Details of exposure administration were reported and administration was consistent across study groups.	High	1	1	1		
Exposure	9. Reporting of Doses/Concentrati ons	Administered doses were reported without ambiguity.	High	1	2	2		
Characterization	10. Exposure Frequency and Duration	The exposure frequency and duration of exposure were reported and appropriate for this study type and/or outcome(s) of interest.	High	1	1	1		
Exposure and Dose	11. Number of Exposure Groups and Dose Spacing	The number of exposure groups and spacing was reported. It was reported that a larger number of dose groups was used (than recommended in OECD 407) for improved assessment of the dose-response relationship.	High	1	1	1		
	12. Exposure Route and Method	The route and method of exposure were reported and were suited to the test substance.	High	1	1	1		

Study reference:	van der Ven, L. T.,Verhoef, A.,van de Kuil, T.,Slob, W.,Leonards, P. E.,Visser, T. J.,Hamers, T.,Herlin, M.,Håkansson, H.,Olausson, H.,Piersma, A. H.,Vos, J. G. (2006). A 28-day oral dose toxicity study enhanced to detect endocrine effects of hexabromocyclododecane in Wistar rats Toxicological Sciences, 94(2), 281-292							
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score		
	13. Test Animal Characteristics	The test animal species, strain, sex, and age was reported. It was noted that the animals were inspected daily for general condition and clinical abnormalities. The animals were obtained from a commercial breeding facility.	High	1	2	2		
Test Organism	14. Adequacy and Consistency of Animal Husbandry Conditions	Most animal husbandry conditions were reported and adequate. Humidity and temperature was not reported, however, this limitation in reporting is unlikely to have a substantial impact on results.	Medium	2	1	2		
	15. Number per Group	The number of animals per study group was reported (5/sex/dose). OECD 407 requires at least 10 animals (5/sex) for each dose level. Hence, the confidence is selected as 'medium'.	Medium	2	1	2		
Outcome Assessment	16. Outcome Assessment Methodology	The outcome assessment methodology reported and sensitive to the intended outcomes of interest.	High	1	2	2		
	17. Consistency of Outcome Assessment	Details of the outcome assessment methodology were reported and consistent across study groups for the outcomes of interest.	High	1	1	1		

Study reference:	van der Ven, L. T.,Verhoef, A.,van de Kuil, T.,Slob, W.,Leonards, P. E.,Visser, T. J.,Hamers, T.,Herlin, M.,Håkansson, H.,Olausson, H.,Piersma, A. H.,Vos, J. G. (2006). A 28-day oral dose toxicity study enhanced to detect endocrine effects of hexabromocyclododecane in Wistar rats Toxicological Sciences, 94(2), 281-292					
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score
	18. Sampling Adequacy	Details regarding the sampling for the outcomes of interest were reported and adequate for assessment.	High	1	1	1
	19. Blinding of Assessors	This metric is not rated when outcomes are not subjective or for initial histopathology review.	Not Rated	NA	NA	NA
	20. Negative Control Response	The biological response of the negative control group was adequate. As shown in Data tables and in Supplemental tables (ID2919527)	High	1	1	1
Confounding / Variable Control	21. Confounding Variables in Test Design and Procedures	There were no reported differences among the study groups that could influence the outcome of the assessment. Food consumption was reported, but initial body weights were not. The lack of reporting is not likely to have a significant impact on results.	Medium	2	2	4
	22. Health Outcomes Unrelated to Exposure	Data on attrition unrelated to exposure was reported. No other health outcomes unrelated to exposure were reported. The incidence of attrition is unlikely to have a substantial impact on results.	Medium	2	1	2

Study reference:	T.,Herlin, M.,Hå	van der Ven, L. T.,Verhoef, A.,van de Kuil, T.,Slob, W.,Leonards, P. E.,Visser, T. J.,Hamers, T.,Herlin, M.,Håkansson, H.,Olausson, H.,Piersma, A. H.,Vos, J. G. (2006). A 28-day oral dose toxicity study enhanced to detect endocrine effects of hexabromocyclododecane in Wistar rats Toxicological Sciences, 94(2), 281-292					
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score	
Data Presentation and Analysis 23. Statistical Methods 24. Reporting of Data	Statistical analysis was shown for all datasets included in the published report and for supplemental data tables (ID2919527). BMD methodology was clearly described and appropriate.	High	1	1	1		
		Data for exposure- related findings were presented for all outcomes by exposure group and sex as evaluated for this reference and the supplemental data tables (ID2919527).	High	1	2	2	
		Sum of so	cores:		30	39	
Medium: >=	1 and <1.7 :1.7 and <2.3	Overall Score = Su Scores/Sum of Metric		1.3	Overall Score: Nearest *:	1.3	
Low: >=2.	Low: >=2.3 and <=3		Overall Quality Level:		High		
Study Quality Comment:		The reviewer agreed with this study's overall quality level.					

14. Animal toxicity evaluation results of W. I. L. Research 1997 (787758) for 28-day repeated oral study on mortality, nutrition and metabolic/adult exposure body weight, neurological/behavior, hematological and immune, clinical chemistry/biochemical, hepatic, renal, cardiovascular, reproductive, endocrine, gastrointestinal, respiratory outcomes

Study reference:	W. I. L. Research (1997). Twenty-eight day repeated dose oral toxicity study of HBCD in rats, with cover letter dated 3/18/1997							
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score		
	Test Substance Identity	The test substance was identified definitively.	High	1	2	2		
Test Substance	2. Test Substance Source	The source of the test substance was reported, including manufacturer and lot number.	High	1	1	1		
	3. Test Substance Purity	The study authors stated that the purity was "considered to be 100%", but no verification of this purity was reported.	Medium	2	1	2		
	4. Negative and Vehicle Controls	The study authors reported using an appropriate concurrent negative control group (administered the vehicle via gavage at the same dose volume).	High	1	2	2		
	5. Positive Controls	Positive control is not indicated by study type.	Not Rated	NA	NA	NA		
Test Design	6. Randomized Allocation	The study reported methods of allocation of animals to study groups, but there were minor limitations in the allocation method (method of distribution had a non-random component, including assignment to minimize differences in body weight across groups).	Medium	2	1	2		

Study reference:	W. I. L. Research (1997). Twenty-eight day repeated dose oral toxicity study of HBC cover letter dated 3/18/1997						
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score	
	7. Preparation and Storage of Test Substance	The test substance preparation and storage conditions were reported and appropriate for the test substance (the test substance was prepared daily and stored at room temperature). Storage of the bulk test substance was also reported (sealed container at room temperature) and the bulk test substance was considered stable under the storage conditions.	High	1	1	1	
Exposure Characterization	8. Consistency of Exposure Administration	Details of the administration were reported but minor limitations in administration of the exposures, including accidental mistakes in dosing, were identified that are unlikely to have a substantial impact on results. On one particular day, animals at higher dose levels were inadvertently dosed with lower doses, and a few lower dose animals were inadvertently dosed with higher doses. Lower doses were corrected so that the underdosed animals received the correct doses.	Medium	2	1	2	

Study reference:	W. I. L. Research (1997). Twenty-eight day repeated dose oral toxicity study of HBCD in rats, with cover letter dated 3/18/1997							
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score		
	9. Reporting of Doses/Concentrati ons	Administered doses were reported without ambiguity. Test concentrations were evaluated by gravimetric analysis each day prior to dosing and homogeneity was evaluated on three days during the administration period (d 0, 13, 27); however, the results were not reported.	Medium	2	2	4		
	10. Exposure Frequency and Duration	The exposure frequency and duration of exposure (daily exposure for 28 consecutive days) were reported and appropriate for the study type and outcomes of interest.	High	1	1	1		
	11. Number of Exposure Groups and Dose Spacing	The number of exposure groups and dose spacing (125, 350, 1000 mg/kg/day) were considered adequate to address the purpose of the study. Although the basis for selection of the doses was not reported, the range of doses was adequate.	High	1	1	1		
	12. Exposure Route and Method	The route and method of exposure (oral, gavage) were reported and were suited to the test substance.	High	1	1	1		
Test Organism	13. Test Animal Characteristics	The test animal source, species, strain, sex, age, and starting body weight (group means) were reported; however, health status was not reported.	Medium	2	2	4		

Study reference:	W. I. L. Research (1997). Twenty-eight day repeated dose oral toxicity study of HBCD in rats, with cover letter dated 3/18/1997						
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score	
	14. Adequacy and Consistency of Animal Husbandry Conditions	All husbandry conditions (temperature, humidity, light-dark cycle) were reported and were adequate and the same for control and exposed populations.	High	1	1	1	
	15. Number per Group	The reported number of animals was lower than the typical number used in studies of the same or similar type for some groups; however, the number was sufficient for statistical analysis. The low- and mid-dose groups had only 6/sex/group, while the control and high-dose groups had 12/sex/group (6/sex/group sacrificed at the end of the 28-day administration period and the remaining 6/sex/group were maintained for an additional 14-day recovery period).	Medium	2	1	2	
Outcome Assessment	16. Outcome Assessment Methodology	The outcome assessment methodology addressed or reported the intended outcomes of interest and was sensitive for the outcomes of interest.	High	1	2	2	
Assessment	17. Consistency of Outcome Assessment	Details of the outcome assessment protocol were reported and outcomes were assessed consistently across study groups.	High	1	1	1	

Study reference:	W. I. L. Research (1997). Twenty-eight day repeated dose oral toxicity study of HBCD in rats, with cover letter dated 3/18/1997						
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score	
	18. Sampling Adequacy	Details regarding the sampling for the outcomes of interest were reported and the study used adequate sampling for the outcomes of interest.	High	1	1	1	
	19. Blinding of Assessors	The study states that investigators were blinded for subjective outcomes in the neurological tests (For FOB parameters "testing was performed by the same technicians without knowledge of the animal group assignment"). No other subjective outcomes were reported in the study.	High	1	1	1	
	20. Negative Control Response	The biological responses of the negative control groups were adequate.	High	1	1	1	
Confounding / Variable Control	21. Confounding Variables in Test Design and Procedures	There were no reported differences among the study groups related to confounding variables in test design or procedures and no significant differences in initial body weights.	High	1	2	2	

Study reference:	W. I. L. Research	(1997). Twenty-eight d cover	ay repeated dose or r letter dated 3/18/19		study of HBCD ir	rats, with
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score
	22. Health Outcomes Unrelated to Exposure	Data on attrition and health outcomes unrelated to exposure were reported. The authors report that "animal no. 50292 was replaced by animal no.50289 on study day -1 as animal no. 50292 died shortly after being handled for pretest clinical observations and weighing." The authors also stated that "Several animals weighed less than the protocol-specified minimum weight (175 g for males, 125 g for females) at the initiation of dosing. This deviation had no impact on the outcome of the study as all animals were within the protocol-specified age range (4-8 weeks) at the initiation of dosing. "	Medium	2	1	2
	23. Statistical Methods	Statistical methods were clearly described and appropriate for the datasets.	High	1	1	1
Data Presentation and Analysis	24. Reporting of Data	Data for exposure- related findings were presented for all outcomes by exposure group and sex with quantal or continuous presentation and negative findings reported qualitatively or quantitatively.	High	1	2	2
Uiah: \-	1 and <1.7	Sum of se	cores:		30	39
Medium: >=	High: >=1 and <1.7 Medium: >=1.7 and <2.3 Low: >=2.3 and <=3		Overall Score = Sum of Weighted Scores/Sum of Metric Weighting Factors:		Overall Score: Nearest *:	1.3

Study reference:	W. I. L. Research (1997). Twenty-eight day repeated dose oral toxicity study of HBCD in rats, with cover letter dated 3/18/1997						
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score	
		Overall Quality Level: High					
Study Quality Comment:	The reviewer agreed with this study's overall quality level.						

15. Animal toxicity evaluation results of Wang et al 2016 (3350496) for 28 day oral gavage metabolomic study in mice study on nutrition and metabolic/adult exposure body weight, gene expression/omics outcomes

Study reference:	Wang, D.,Zhang, P.,Wang, X.,Wang, Y.,Zhou, Z.,Zhu, W. (2016). NMR- and LC-MS/MS-based urine metabolomic investigation of the subacute effects of hexabromocyclododecane in mice Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 23(9), 8500-8507						
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score	
Test Substance	1. Test Substance Identity	Test substance identified as technical HBCD with 10% alpha, 10% beta, and 80% gamma stereoisomers.	High	1	2	2	
	2. Test Substance Source	Test substance obtained from manufacturer but without certification or analytical verification of identity.	Medium	2	1	2	
	Test Substance Purity	Test substance purity reported as 95%	High	1	1	1	
	Negative and Vehicle Controls	Sham-treated controls received vehicle	High	1	2	2	
Test Design	5. Positive Controls	Positive controls not typical for study type	Not Rated	NA	NA	NA	
	6. Randomized Allocation	Study reports random allocation to groups	High	1	1	1	
	7. Preparation and Storage of Test Substance	Test substance preparation was reported but storage was not reported	Medium	2	1	2	
	8. Consistency of Exposure Administration	Time of day of gavage administration was not reported.	Medium	2	1	2	
Exposure Characterization	9. Reporting of Doses/Concentrati ons	Details of exposure administration were reported and exposures were administered consistently across study groups in a scientifically sound manner	High	1	2	2	
	10. Exposure Frequency and Duration	Doses administered daily for 28 days	High	1	1	1	

Wang, D., Zhang, P., Wang, X., Wang, Y., Zhou, Z., Zhu, W. (2016). NMR- and LC-MS/MS-based urine Study reference: metabolomic investigation of the subacute effects of hexabromocyclododecane in mice Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 23(9), 8500-8507 Qualitative Determination Metric Weighted Metric **Domain** Metric **Eval Comment** [i.e.,High,Medium Weighting Score Score ,Low,Unacceptab **Factor** le, or Not rated] 2 nonzero doses were administered ranging 11. Number of 5-fold. Doses were 2 2 **Exposure Groups** Medium 1 selected based on and Dose Spacing reported range of toxic doses oral gavage exposure 12. Exposure 1 1 1 with appropriate High Route and Method vehicle reported Test animal species, strain, sex, age, and body weight were 13. Test Animal reported. Females 1 2 2 High Characteristics were chosen because they were reportedly more sensitive. **Test Organism** Relative humidity and 14. Adequacy and diet were not reported. Consistency of All other husbandry Medium 2 2 1 Animal Husbandry conditions were Conditions reported and adequate. 15. Number per 5 animals/dose tested. Medium 2 1 2 Group Body weight, organ weight and both targeted and untargeted metabolomics were 16. Outcome evaluated. BW was Assessment Medium 2 2 4 measured weekly, but Methodology metabolomics only performed once on 24 hour urine samples collected after last Outcome dose. **Assessment** 17. Consistency of No inconsistencies in Outcome outcome assessment High 1 1 1 Assessment were noted Body weights and 18. Sampling metabolomics High 1 1 1 Adequacy assessed for individual animals 19. Blinding of no subjective Not Rated NA NA NA Assessors outcomes

Study reference:	Wang, D.,Zhang, P.,Wang, X.,Wang, Y.,Zhou, Z.,Zhu, W. (2016). NMR- and LC-MS/MS-based urine metabolomic investigation of the subacute effects of hexabromocyclododecane in mice Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 23(9), 8500-8507						
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score	
	20. Negative Control Response	Control responses were reported and appeared to be appropriate	High	1	1	1	
Confounding / Variable Control	21. Confounding Variables in Test Design and Procedures	Food and water intake were not reported.	Medium	2	2	4	
	22. Health Outcomes Unrelated to Exposure	One control mouse died during the study.	Medium	2	1	2	
Data Presentation and Analysis	23. Statistical Methods	Statistical analysis methods reported and appropriate.	High	1	1	1	
	24. Reporting of Data	Body weights reported graphically without measure of variability in supplemental material.	Medium	2	2	4	
		Sum of se	cores:		29	42	
Medium: >=	High: >=1 and <1.7 Medium: >=1.7 and <2.3		m of Weighted Weighting Factors:	NA	Overall Score: Nearest *:	NA	
Low: >=2.3 and <=3		Overall Qual	ity Level:	Medium			
Study Quality Comment:	The reviewer downgraded this study's overall quality rating. They noted: Although body weight and organ weights were measured, only average body weight was provided in the supplemental material. the author reports organ weight data was not shown, but did not have any changes. This study mainly focus on metabolomics using urine samples and analyzing amino acids. Even though it is a 28-day study, no useful information is provided in terms of outcomes for toxicological endpoint. It possibly can be used as a mechanistic supporting study for understanding the metabolic pathway. Note: The original calculated score for this study was 1.4. This value is not presented above because the final rating was changed based on professional judgement.						

16. Animal toxicity evaluation results of Watanabe et al 2010 (1927692) for 28 day feeding study in mice - mechanistic study, animals also infected with rsv study on nutrition and metabolic/adult exposure body weight, hematological and immune outcomes

Study reference:	Watanabe, W.,Shimizu, T.,Sawamura, R.,Hino, A.,Konno, K.,Hirose, A.,Kurokawa, M. (2010). Effects of tetrabromobisphenol A, a brominated flame retardant, on the immune response to respiratory syncytial virus infection in mice International Immunopharmacology, 10(4), 393-397						
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score	
	Test Substance Identity	Substance reported as HBCD, no CAS # was provided	High	1	2	2	
Test Substance	2. Test Substance Source	Purchased from a commercial source	High	1	1	1	
	3. Test Substance Purity	Purity was not reported; no validation was done to assess purity	Low	3	1	3	
Test Design	4. Negative and Vehicle Controls	The study indicates there was a control, it is presumed that this was the powdered diet alone. It does not appear as though a vehicle was used?	Medium	2	2	4	
	5. Positive Controls	Positive control not necessary	Not Rated	NA	NA	NA	
	6. Randomized Allocation	Randomization was not reported	Low	3	1	3	
Exposure Characterization	7. Preparation and Storage of Test Substance	Preparation nor storage was reported. Study authors only indicate that HBCD was mixed into a powder diet.	Low	3	1	3	
	8. Consistency of Exposure Administration	Control and treated Animals were fed ad libitum	High	1	1	1	
	9. Reporting of Doses/Concentrations	Reported as 1% in diet., body weights and food consumption were provided,	High	1	2	2	
	10. Exposure Frequency and Duration	Daily for 28 days	High	1	1	1	

Watanabe, W.,Shimizu, T.,Sawamura, R.,Hino, A.,Konno, K.,Hirose, A.,Kurokawa, M. (2010). Effects Study reference: of tetrabromobisphenol A, a brominated flame retardant, on the immune response to respiratory syncytial virus infection in mice International Immunopharmacology, 10(4), 393-397 Qualitative Determination Metric Weighted Metric **Domain** Metric **Eval Comment** [i.e.,High,Medium Weighting Score Score ,Low,Unacceptab **Factor** le, or Not rated] Single exposure and control; There was no explanation or justification of chosen dose; not useful for dose-response 11. Number of analysis, but single Exposure Groups 2 2 Medium 1 dose may be and Dose Spacing appropriate for the endpoints evaluated. There were no responses, so it is unclear whether the dose used was appropriate or not. 12. Exposure Standard exposure 1 High 1 1 Route and Method route and method 13. Test Animal Test animals were 1 2 2 High Characteristics acceptable 14. Adequacy and Consistency of Animal husbandry was 3 1 3 Low Animal Husbandry not reported Conditions **Test Organism** Study reports use of 6-7 mice/ group; OECD guidelines for 28-day 15. Number per Medium 2 2 1 repeated dose study Group recommends 10 animals/group (5/sex) CK: The outcome 16. Outcome assessment methodology Assessment 1 2 2 High addressed the Methodology intended outcomes 17. Consistency of Methods were Outcome acceptable for what High 1 1 1 Assessment they were looking at. Outcome 18. Sampling Sampling was done on Assessment High 1 1 1 all of the mice/group Adequacy Histology was not done on HBCD treated 19. Blinding of animals; there were no Not Rated NA NA NA Assessors other subjective outcomes 20. Negative Control responses 1 High 1 1 Control Response were as expected

Study reference:	Watanabe, W.,Shimizu, T.,Sawamura, R.,Hino, A.,Konno, K.,Hirose, A.,Kurokawa, M. (2010). Effects of tetrabromobisphenol A, a brominated flame retardant, on the immune response to respiratory syncytial virus infection in mice International Immunopharmacology, 10(4), 393-397						
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score	
Confounding / Variable Control	21. Confounding Variables in Test Design and Procedures	There were no apparently confounding factors that would influence the outcomes	High	1	2	2	
	22. Health Outcomes Unrelated to Exposure	There were no unrelated health outcomes	High	1	1	1	
Data Presentation and	23. Statistical Methods	Statistical method was appropriate for outcome	High	1	1	1	
Analysis	24. Reporting of Data	Reporting of data was accepatble	High	1	2	2	
		Sum of so	cores:		29	41	
Medium: >=	High: >=1 and <1.7 Medium: >=1.7 and <2.3		ım of Weighted Weighting Factors:	NA	Overall Score: Nearest *:	NA	
Low: >=2.3 and <=3		Overall Qual	ity Level:	Medium			
Study Quality Comment:	The reviewer downgraded this study's overall quality rating. They noted: Some study details regarding preparation of diets, and validation of dosing were omitted. Since there was no justification of dose, it is unknown whether the dose used was appropriate to elicit an effect. This limited endpoints evaluated do not greatly inform mechanism of the potential effects of HBCD on immunity. Note: The original calculated score for this study was 1.4. This value is not presented above because the final rating was changed based on professional judgement.						

Subchronic Toxicity Studies

17. Animal toxicity evaluation results of Acc et al 2002 (4269953) for 90-day gavage-systemic with sperm evaluations and neurobehavior, same as (2990994) study on reproductive, hematological, neurological/behavior, renal, hepatic, clinical chemistry/biochemical, body weight, ocular and sensory, thyroid outcomes

Study reference:	Ac	c, (2002). A 90-Day Ora	al (Gavage) Toxicity	Study of H	BCD in Rats	
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score
	Test Substance Identity	Identified by name, CARSN, structure, molecular formula, and isomer distribution (pp. 1235-1236)	High	1	2	2
	2. Test Substance Source	Source and analytical verification were included in the study report.	High	1	1	1
Test Substance	3. Test Substance Purity	The test substance composition was such that any observed effects were highly likely to be due to the test substance. Although the test chemical was analyzed to determine the isomer composition analysis does not appear to address the purity of the chemical.	Medium	2	1	2
Test Design	Negative and Vehicle Controls	Concurrent vehicle control groups were included in the main and satellite studies.	High	1	2	2
	5. Positive Controls	This metric not applicable.	Not Rated	NA	NA	NA
	6. Randomized Allocation	Animals were allocated by a computerized randomization procedure based on body weight stratification in a block design.	Medium	2	1	2

Study reference:	Ac	c, (2002). A 90-Day Ora	ıl (Gavage) Toxicity	Study of H	BCD in Rats	
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score
Exposure Characterization	7. Preparation and Storage of Test Substance	Preparation and storage conditions were reported and appropriate based on stability and homogeneity testing (pp. 1242-1268).	High	1	1	1
	8. Consistency of Exposure Administration	Details were reported and administered consistently across groups. Dosing volume was appropriate. A dosing error was reported (pp. 65) but this is unlikely to have substantial impact on results.	Medium	2	1	2
	9. Reporting of Doses/Concentrati ons	Doses reported without ambiguity.	High	1	2	2
	10. Exposure Frequency and Duration	Duration of study and frequency of dosing were reported and appropriate for this study	High	1	1	1
	11. Number of Exposure Groups and Dose Spacing	The selected doses were not justified by study authors, but the doses were adequate to show results relevant to the outcomes of interest.	Medium	2	1	2
	12. Exposure Route and Method	Exposure route and method were suitable.	High	1	1	1
Test Organism	13. Test Animal Characteristics	The test animal species, strain, sex, health status, age, and starting body weight were reported. Animals obtained from commercial supplier (Charles River).	High	1	2	2
	14. Adequacy and Consistency of Animal Husbandry Conditions	Temperature, relative humidity, light/day cycle were reported.	High	1	1	1

Study reference:	Acc, (2002). A 90-Day Oral (Gavage) Toxicity Study of HBCD in Rats						
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score	
	15. Number per Group	In general, the number of animals assigned per group was appropriate for the study type and outcome analysis. Group sizes conformed to OECD 408.	High	1	1	1	
Outcome Assessment 17. Consister Outcome	16. Outcome Assessment Methodology	In general, outcome assessment methodology was described in detail and sensitive for outcomes of interest. Serious concerns were identified for serum hormone data. Specifically, the confidence rating for TSH data is low because of a high incidence of samples in the control group below the limit of detection, indicating insensitivity of the method. In one instance data were reported for a single control animal (278-281; 916-939)	High	1	2	2	
	17. Consistency of Outcome Assessment	Details of the protocols used for outcome assessment were reported ad outcomes were assessed consistently across study groups.	High	1	1	1	
	18. Sampling Adequacy	Sampling details were well described and adequate.	High	1	1	1	

Study reference:	Ac	c, (2002). A 90-Day Ora	al (Gavage) Toxicity	Study of H	BCD in Rats	
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score
	19. Blinding of Assessors	Two subjective outcomes were evaluated: functional observational battery and histopathology. Functional Observational Battery: High - the study report indicates that assessors were blinded to treatment group during observations. Histopathology: Medium - Blinding was not reported in the study and no indication that tissues were subjected to a secondary independent evaluation.	High	1	1	1
	20. Negative Control Response	In general, biological response of negative controls was adequate. Serious concerns were identified for the serum hormone data. Specifically, the confidence rating for TSH data is low because of a high variability in the biological reponses between control replicates such that, in some cases, the SD > mean and there were as much as two orders of magnitude difference across individual controls (pp. 278-281; 916-939).	High	1	1	1
Confounding / Variable Control	21. Confounding Variables in Test Design and Procedures	No reported differences among the groups were observed.	High	1	2	2

Study reference:	Ac	Acc, (2002). A 90-Day Oral (Gavage) Toxicity Study of HBCD in Rats					
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score	
	22. Health Outcomes Unrelated to Exposure	There were no health outcomes unrelated to exposure that would influence outcome assessment.	High	1	1	1	
Data Presentation and	23. Statistical Methods	Statistical methods were clearly described and appropriate.	High	1	1	1	
Analysis	24. Reporting of Data	Data were reported in tables and in the text for all outcomes.	High	1	2	2	
		Sum of so	cores:		30	34	
Medium: >=	l and <1.7 1.7 and <2.3		Overall Score = Sum of Weighted Scores/Sum of Metric Weighting Factors:		Overall Score: Nearest *:	1.1	
Low: >=2.3 and <=3		Overall Quality Level:		High			
Study Quality Comment:	The reviewer agreed with this study's overall quality level.						

18. Animal toxicity evaluation results of Basf et al 1990 (787638) for 28-day and 90-day dietary studies study on reproductive, hematological and immune, neurological, renal, hepatic, endocrine, gastrointestinal, respiratory, thyroid outcomes

Study reference:	Basf, (1990). Hexabromocyclododecane 28-day feeding trials with rats with test data and cover letter, 900000274, #86-900000274							
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score		
	1. Test Substance Identity	Identified by trade name and isomer designation.	High	1	2	2		
Test Substance	2. Test Substance Source	Source and lot no. were not reported. Manufacturer was assumed to be BASF.	Medium	2	1	2		
	Test Substance Purity	Purity was not reported.	Low	3	1	3		
	Negative and Vehicle Controls	A negative dietary control group was used.	High	1	2	2		
Test Design	5. Positive Controls	Positive controls are not necessary for a 28-day study.	Not Rated	NA	NA	NA		
	6. Randomized Allocation	The study did not report how animals were allocated to study groups.	Low	3	1	3		
	7. Preparation and Storage of Test Substance	Analysis showed that concentrations remained stable over the week.	High	1	1	1		
	8. Consistency of Exposure Administration	Details of exposure administration were reported.	High	1	1	1		
Exposure Characterization	9. Reporting of Doses/Concentrati ons	Dietary concentrations were not measured analytically, but bw and food consumption were reported for each group.	Medium	2	2	4		
	10. Exposure Frequency and Duration	Diet was administered over 13 weeks (daily was assumed).	High	1	1	1		
	11. Number of Exposure Groups and Dose Spacing	4 treatment groups plus control; dose response relationships were apparent.	High	1	1	1		

Study reference:	Basf, (1990). He	Basf, (1990). Hexabromocyclododecane 28-day feeding trials with rats with test data and cover letter, 900000274, #86-900000274							
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score			
	12. Exposure Route and Method	The route and method of exposure were reported and were suited to the test substance.	High	1	1	1			
	13. Test Animal Characteristics	Species, strain and starting bw was reported. Not a commercial source, but a laboratory maintained colony.	High	1	2	2			
Test Organism	14. Adequacy and Consistency of Animal Husbandry Conditions	Husbandry conditions were not reported.	Low	3	1	3			
	15. Number per Group	10/sex/group	High	1	1	1			
	16. Outcome Assessment Methodology	The outcome assessment methodology was reported.	High	1	2	2			
	17. Consistency of Outcome Assessment	See footnote at end of page.1	High	1	1	1			
Outcome Assessment	18. Sampling Adequacy	Data tables are difficult to read, but sampling appears adequate.	Medium	2	1	2			
	19. Blinding of Assessors	Blinding was not reported; however, outcomes were objective.	Medium	2	1	2			
	20. Negative Control Response	Data tables are difficult to read; however, several lesions are noted for controls.	Low	3	1	3			

¹ Metrics that received a "High" rating met the criteria as discussed in the Applications of Systematic Review for TSCA Risk Evaluation.

Study reference:	Basf, (1990). He		xabromocyclododecane 28-day feeding trials with rats with test data and cover letter, 900000274, #86-900000274					
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score		
Confounding / Variable Control	21. Confounding Variables in Test Design and Procedures	The study reported (in the text) minor differences among the study groups (<20% difference from control) with respect to initial body weight, drinking water and/or food consumption. But the information in the tables is difficult to read.	Medium	2	2	4		
	22. Health Outcomes Unrelated to Exposure	A large proportion of rats showed signs of respiratory inflammation (47% of controls, 26% of all other rats).	Unacceptable	NA	1	NA		
Data	23. Statistical Methods	Statistical analysis was not described clearly, and this deficiency is likely to have a substantial impact on results.	Low	3	1	3		
Presentation and Analysis	24. Reporting of Data	Data tables are provided for all outcomes by exposure group and sex; however, data are in German and mostly illegible.	Low	3	2	6		
		Sum of so	cores:	***************************************	29	50		
High: >=1 and <1.7 Medium: >=1.7 and <2.3 Low: >=2.3 and <=3		Overall Score = Su Scores/Sum of Metric		4	Overall Score: Nearest *:	4		
		Overall Quality Level:			Unacceptable			
Study Quality Comment:	The reviewer agreed with this study's overall quality level. Note: An overall score of 4 is given for any unacceptable study. A weighted average is not calculated for unacceptable studies.							

19. Animal toxicity evaluation results of van et al 2009 (589273) for 1-generation reproduction study, oral dietary study on endocrine; reproductive; hematological and immune; thyroid; growth (early life) and development; musculoskeletal/motor function; clinical chemistry/biochemical; nutrition and metabolic/adult exposure body weight; hepatic outcomes

Study reference:	van der Ven, L. T. M.,van de Kuil, T.,Leonards, P. E. G.,Slob, W.,Lilienthal, H.,Litens, S.,Herlin, M.,Hakansson, H.,Cantón, R. F.,van den Berg, M.,Visser, T. J.,van Loveren, H.,Vos, J. G.,Piersma, A. H. (2009). Endocrine effects of hexabromocyclododecane (HBCD) in a one-generation reproduction study in Wistar rats Toxicology Letters, 185(1), 51-62							
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score		
	1. Test Substance Identity	The test substance was identified definitively as HBCD a mixture of three diastereoisomers, H alpha-, beta-, and gamm- HBCD and their respective proportion in the used batch was 10.3–8.7– 81.0%.	High	1	2	2		
Test Substance	2. Test Substance Source	The test substance manufacturer and source was reported; however, the batch/lot number was not specified.	Medium	2	1	2		
	3. Test Substance Purity	The test substance was said to be technical grade (technical mixture containing traces of tetra- and pentabromocyclodode cane) it was noted; the test substance composition is such that any observed effects are likely due to the nominal test substance.	High	1	1	1		

Study reference:	van der Ven, L. T. M.,van de Kuil, T.,Leonards, P. E. G.,Slob, W.,Lilienthal, H.,Litens, S.,Herlin, M.,Hakansson, H.,Cantón, R. F.,van den Berg, M.,Visser, T. J.,van Loveren, H.,Vos, J. G.,Piersma, A. H. (2009). Endocrine effects of hexabromocyclododecane (HBCD) in a one-generation reproduction study in Wistar rats Toxicology Letters, 185(1), 51-62						
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score	
	4. Negative and Vehicle Controls	Study authors reported using an appropriate concurrent negative control group. An additional group was included to monitor effects of the carrier oil contents in the feed.	High	1	2	2	
	5. Positive Controls	I rated/applicable for I Not Rated I NA	NA	NA	NA		
Test Design	6. Randomized Allocation	The study noted that the protocol was based on OECD415 (onegeneration reproduction toxicity study) guideline and that the animals were distributed among a larger number of dose groups than advised in guideline. The study did not explicitly report how animals were allocated to study groups. It is unclear if this would have a substantial impact on results.	Low	3	1	3	
Exposure Characterization	7. Preparation and Storage of Test Substance	Test substance preparation was reported, but with limitations in reporting. HBCD was reported to be mixed with cornbased oil and pelleted for feed. It is not reported how often feed was mixed or how it was stored. This omission is unlikely to have a substantial impact on results.	Medium	2	1	2	

Study reference:	van der Ven, L. T. M.,van de Kuil, T.,Leonards, P. E. G.,Slob, W.,Lilienthal, H.,Litens, S.,Herlin, M.,Hakansson, H.,Cantón, R. F.,van den Berg, M.,Visser, T. J.,van Loveren, H.,Vos, J. G.,Piersma, A. H. (2009). Endocrine effects of hexabromocyclododecane (HBCD) in a one-generation reproduction study in Wistar rats Toxicology Letters, 185(1), 51-62					
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score
	8. Consistency of Exposure Administration	Details of exposure administration were reported and administration was consistent between across study groups.	High	1	1	1
	9. Reporting of Doses/Concentrations	The targeted dietary exposure was reported to be 0–0.1–0.3–1–3–10–30–100 mg/kg bodyweight/day.	High	1	2	2
	10. Exposure Frequency and Duration	Exposure frequency (ad libitum) and duration of exposure were reported and appropriate.	High	1	1	1
	11. Number of Exposure Groups and Dose Spacing	The number of exposure groups and spacing was reported and was justified based on a preceding subacute repeated oral dose study.	High	1	1	1
	12. Exposure Route and Method	The route (oral, dietary) was reported and suited to the test substance.	High	1	1	1
Test Organism	13. Test Animal Characteristics	The test animal species, strain, sex, and age was reported. It was noted that the animals were of weighed and that animals were inspected daily for general condition and clinical abnormalities. The animals were obtained from a commercial breeding facility.	High	1	2	2

Study reference:	van der Ven, L. T. M.,van de Kuil, T.,Leonards, P. E. G.,Slob, W.,Lilienthal, H.,Litens, S.,Hom, Hakansson, H.,Cantón, R. F.,van den Berg, M.,Visser, T. J.,van Loveren, H.,Vos, J. G.,Pio A. H. (2009). Endocrine effects of hexabromocyclododecane (HBCD) in a one-generation reproduction study in Wistar rats Toxicology Letters, 185(1), 51-62						
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score	
	14. Adequacy and Consistency of Animal Husbandry Conditions	Animal husbandry conditions were reported and included temperature, humidity, and light-dark cycle. Husbandry conditions were adequate and the same for all animals.	High	1	1	1	
	15. Number per Group	The number of animals per group was reported and appropriate for the study type and outcome analysis.	High	1	1	1	
	16. Outcome Assessment Methodology	The outcome assessment methodology reported and sensitive to the intended outcomes of interest.	High	1	2	2	
	17. Consistency of Outcome Assessment	Details of the outcome assessment methodology were reported and consistent across study groups for the outcomes of interest.	High	1	1	1	
Outcome Assessment	18. Sampling Adequacy	Details regarding the sampling for the outcomes of interest were reported and adequate for assessment.	High	1	1	1	
	19. Blinding of Assessors	This metric is not rated when outcomes are not subjective or for initial histopathology review.	Not Rated	NA	NA	NA	
	20. Negative Control Response	The biological response of the negative control group was adequate. As shown in Supplemental tables 1-16 (ID2919529)	High	1	1	1	

Study reference:	van der Ven, L. T. M.,van de Kuil, T.,Leonards, P. E. G.,Slob, W.,Lilienthal, H.,Litens, S.,Herlin, M.,Hakansson, H.,Cantón, R. F.,van den Berg, M.,Visser, T. J.,van Loveren, H.,Vos, J. G.,Piersma, A. H. (2009). Endocrine effects of hexabromocyclododecane (HBCD) in a one-generation reproduction study in Wistar rats Toxicology Letters, 185(1), 51-62						
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score	
	21. Confounding Variables in Test Design and Procedures	There were no reported differences among the study groups that could influence the outcome assessment.	Medium	2	2	4	
Confounding / Variable Control	22. Health Outcomes Unrelated to Exposure	Data on attrition or health outcomes not related to exposure were not reported. The carrier oil control group experienced increased mortality of F1 pups during lactation and several other health outcomes. While not related to HBDC exposure, these effects were influenced by the carrier oil in the feed.	Medium	2	1	2	
Data Procentation and	23. Statistical Methods	Statistical analysis was shown for all datasets as evaluated for Supplemental tables 1- 16 (ID2919529). BMD methodology was clearly described and appropriate.	High	1	1	1	
Presentation and Analysis	24. Reporting of Data	Data for exposure- related findings were presented for all outcomes by exposure group and sex - as evaluated for Supplemental tables 1- 16 (ID2919529).	High	1	2	2	
		Sum of so	cores:		29	36	
Medium: >=	High: >=1 and <1.7 Medium: >=1.7 and <2.3		ım of Weighted Weighting Factors:	1.2414	Overall Score: Nearest *:	1.2	
Low: >=2.3 and <=3		Overall Qual	ity Level:		High		

Study reference:	van der Ven, L. T. M.,van de Kuil, T.,Leonards, P. E. G.,Slob, W.,Lilienthal, H.,Litens, S.,Herlin, M.,Hakansson, H.,Cantón, R. F.,van den Berg, M.,Visser, T. J.,van Loveren, H.,Vos, J. G.,Piersma, A. H. (2009). Endocrine effects of hexabromocyclododecane (HBCD) in a one-generation reproduction study in Wistar rats Toxicology Letters, 185(1), 51-62					
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score
Study Quality Comment:	The reviewer agreed with this study's overall quality level.					

20. Animal toxicity evaluation results of W. I. L. Research 2001 (787787) for 90-day gavage study on reproductive, hematological and immune, neurological/behavior, renal, hepatic, ocular and sensory, cardiovascular, clinical chemistry/biochemical, endocrine, gastrointestinal, body weight, respiratory, thyroid outcomes

Study reference:	W. l. L.	W. I. L. Research (2001). 90-Day oral (gavage) toxicity study of HBCD in rats						
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score		
	Test Substance Identity	Identified by name.	High	1	2	2		
	2. Test Substance Source	Manufacturer, lot no. and composite sample nos.	High	1	1	1		
Test Substance	3. Test Substance Purity	Composite made from commercial HBCD products. CK: HBCD, Alpha; HBCD, Beta; HBCD, Gamma; CAS number 3194-55-6. The standards had reported purities of 99.4%,100% and 98.7%. respectively,	High	1	1	1		
	Negative and Vehicle Controls	Vehicle (corn oil) controls were used.	High	1	2	2		
Test Design	5. Positive Controls	Positive controls are not used for 90-day studies.	Not Rated	NA	NA	NA		
	6. Randomized Allocation	Computerized randomization.	High	1	1	1		
Exposure Characterization	7. Preparation and Storage of Test Substance	Stirred until uniform and continuously throughout used. Dosing formulations were prepared weekly.	High	1	1	1		

	·			•		
	8. Consistency of Exposure Administration	See footnote at end of page.1	High	1	1	1
	9. Reporting of Doses/Concentrati ons	Doses reported as mg/kg/day, based on most recent bw measurement,	High	1	2	2
	10. Exposure Frequency and Duration	90 consecutive days.	High	1	1	1
	11. Number of Exposure Groups and Dose Spacing	3 treatment groups plus control; not justified by authors, but did produce a range of response (i.e., thyroid).	High	1	1	1
	12. Exposure Route and Method	CK: Followed OECD Guidelines OECD Guideline 408 and OPPTS 870.3 100	High	1	1	1
	13. Test Animal Characteristics	Species, strain, sex, age, and starting body weight were reported (commercial source).	High	1	2	2
Test Organism	14. Adequacy and Consistency of Animal Husbandry Conditions	Husbandry conditions were reported and appropriate.	High	1	1	1
	15. Number per Group	15/sex/group	High	1	1	1
	16. Outcome Assessment Methodology	Thorough outcome assessments.	High	1	2	2
	17. Consistency of Outcome Assessment	See footnote at end of page.1	High	1	1	1
	18. Sampling Adequacy	See footnote at end of page.1	High	1	1	1
Outcome Assessment	19. Blinding of Assessors	FOB testing was performed without knowledge of the animal groups assignment. Other outcomes were objective. CK: Functional Observational Battery (FOB) evaluations	High	1	1	1
	20. Negative Control Response	Low incidence of histopath. lesions.	High	1	1	1

¹ Metrics that received a "High" rating met the criteria as discussed in the Applications of Systematic Review for TSCA Risk Evaluation.

Confounding /	21. Confounding Variables in Test Design and Procedures	See footnote at end of page.1	High	1	2	2
Variable Control	22. Health Outcomes Unrelated to Exposure	See footnote at end of page.1	High	1	1	1
Data Presentation and	23. Statistical Methods	CK: Well described	High	1	1	1
Analysis	24. Reporting of Data	Summary and indiviual animals tables.	High	1	2	2
		Sum of scores:			30	30
Medium: >=	l and <1.7 1.7 and <2.3	Overall Score = Sum of Weighted Scores/Sum of Metric Weighting Factors:		1	Overall Score: Nearest *:	1
Low: >=2.3 and <=3		Overall Quality Level: High		High		
Study Quality Comment:	The reviewer agreed with this study's overall quality level.					

 1 Metrics that received a "High" rating met the criteria as discussed in the Applications of Systematic Review for TSCA Risk Evaluation.

21. Animal toxicity evaluation results of Ema et al 2008 (787657) study on reproductive, growth (early life) and development, hepatic, neurological/behavior, thyroid outcomes

Study reference:		Ema, M.,Fujii, S.,Hirata-Koizumi, M.,Matsumoto, M. (2008). Two-generation reproductive toxicity study of the flame retardant hexabromocyclododecane in rats Reproductive Toxicology, 25(3), 335-351						
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score		
	Test Substance Identity	The CASRN, purity, mixture components, and ratios were explicitly specified.	High	1	2	2		
Test Substance	2. Test Substance Source	The manufacturer was specified; test substance number was reported. It was indicated that the purity and stability of the test chemical were verified using liquid chromatography.	High	1	1	1		
	3. Test Substance Purity	The test substance was 99.7% pure; therefore, effects in the study were highly likely to be due to the test substance itself (rather than any unspecified impurities).	High	1	1	1		
	4. Negative and Vehicle Controls	An appropriate concurrent control group was used (all of the conditions the same except exposure).	High	1	2	2		
Test Design	5. Positive Controls	Positive control not indicated by study type.	Not Rated	NA	NA	NA		
	6. Randomized Allocation	The study indicates that rats were randomly assigned into study groups.	High	1	1	1		

Study reference:	Ema, M.,Fujii, S.,Hirata-Koizumi, M.,Matsumoto, M. (2008). Two-generation reproductive toxicity study of the flame retardant hexabromocyclododecane in rats Reproductive Toxicology, 25(3), 335-351						
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score	
	7. Preparation and Storage of Test Substance	It was indicated that the test substance was stored in a sealed container under cool and dark conditions. The test substance was well-mixed in the diet (homogeneous and stable for at least 21 days).	High	1	1	1	
	8. Consistency of Exposure Administration	Analysis of the diet indicated that the test substance was administered at the desired feed concentrations throughout the study. Animals were fed ad libitum.	High	1	1	1	
Exposure Characterization	9. Reporting of Doses/Concentrati ons	Food consumption data were recorded (provided in the supplemental data). Mean daily intakes of the test substance for various generations and life stages (i.e. F0 and F1 males and females during premating, mating, gestation, lactation, and for the whole period of administration) were reported without ambiguity	High	1	2	2	
	10. Exposure Frequency and Duration	The exposure frequency and duration were appropriate for the study type (and consistent with OECD guidelines). Mating was 3 weeks (rather than 2 weeks outlined by guideline).	High	1	1	1	

Study reference:	Ema, M.,Fujii, S.,Hirata-Koizumi, M.,Matsumoto, M. (2008). Two-generation reproductive toxicity study of the flame retardant hexabromocyclododecane in rats Reproductive Toxicology, 25(3), 335-351						
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score	
	11. Number of Exposure Groups and Dose Spacing	Three dose groups and a concurrent control group were used. Dosage levels were based on the results of a 90-day repeated-dose toxicity study.	High	1	1	1	
	12. Exposure Route and Method	The test substance was administered in the diet (oral route is recommended by guideline).	High	1	1	1	
Test Organism	13. Test Animal Characteristics	The animal species, strain, sex, health, age, and starting body weights were reported. Animals were purchased from a commercial laboratory. Crl:CD(SD) rats were used because they are the most commonly used in reproductive and developmental toxicity studies; historical control data are available. The rat is the preferred species for testing (according to guideline).	High	1	2	2	
	14. Adequacy and Consistency of Animal Husbandry Conditions	Animals were housed under the same conditions (at the temperature and humidity recommended by guideline). Animals were housed individually except during acclimation, mating, and nursing periods.	High	1	1	1	

Study reference:		Hirata-Koizumi, M.,Mat ne retardant hexabrom				
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score
	15. Number per Group	No less than 20 pregnant females per group is preferred (but not always possible). The study utilized 24 rats/sex/group. Although the number of pregnant animals was only 19 for highdose F0 females, the number of pregnant females was adequate for meaningful analyses of the desired outcomes.	High	1	1	1
	16. Outcome Assessment Methodology	The outcome assessment methodology addressed the intended outcomes (mirrored guideline recommendations for a two-generation reproductive toxicity assay).	High	1	2	2
Outcome	17. Consistency of Outcome Assessment	The outcomes were measured consistently across study groups.	High	1	1	1
Outcome Assessment	18. Sampling Adequacy	Reporting details were provided; litter data were recorded. Sampling was adequate for the outcomes of interest.	High	1	1	1
	19. Blinding of Assessors	Although the study does not indicate that investigators were blinded to treatment group, the study cited various quality control methods that were followed.	High	1	1	1

Study reference:	Ema, M.,Fujii, S.,Hirata-Koizumi, M.,Matsumoto, M. (2008). Two-generation reproductive to study of the flame retardant hexabromocyclododecane in rats Reproductive Toxicology, 2 335-351					
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score
	20. Negative Control Response	The response of the negative controls was reported and were adequate (e.g. there were no histological findings in the thyroid of control rats).	High	1	1	1
	21. Confounding Variables in Test Design and Procedures	There were no differences in initial body weights or intake that could influence the outcome assessment.	High	1	2	2
Confounding / Variable Control	22. Health Outcomes Unrelated to Exposure	Details regarding animal outcomes unrelated to exposure (i.e. accidental injury in the home cage) were reported, but these differences would not influence the outcome assessment.	High	1	1	1
	23. Statistical Methods	Statistical methods were clearly described.	High	1	1	1
Data Presentation and Analysis	24. Reporting of Data	Data were provided for all exposure-related findings by dose group. The cutoff value for decreased thyroid follicle size was not reported, but this is not likely to affect the outcome of the study. Additional data are provided in the supplemental document (for example, date for primordial follicles are presented graphically in the primary report; quantitative data are available in the supplemental document).	High	1	2	2
		Sum of so	cores:		30	30

Study reference:	Ema, M.,Fujii, S.,Hirata-Koizumi, M.,Matsumoto, M. (2008). Two-generation reproductive toxicity study of the flame retardant hexabromocyclododecane in rats Reproductive Toxicology, 25(3), 335-351					
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score
	High: >=1 and <1.7		Overall Score = Sum of Weighted Scores/Sum of Metric Weighting Factors:		Overall Score: Nearest *:	1
Medium: >=1.7 and <2.3 Low: >=2.3 and <=3		Overall Quality Level:		High		
Study Quality Comment:	The reviewer agreed with this study's overall quality level.					

22. Animal toxicity evaluation results of Lilienthal et al 2009 (787693) for 1-generation reproductive study, dietary exposure study on neurological/behavior outcomes

Study reference:	Lilienthal, H.,van der Ven, L. T.,Piersma, A. H.,Vos, J. G. (2009). Effects of the brominated flame retardant hexabromocyclododecane (HBCD) on dopamine-dependent behavior and brainstem auditory evoked potentials in a one-generation reproduction study in Wistar rats Toxicology Letters, 185(1), 63-72						
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score	
	Test Substance Identity	Isomer composition of HBCD was reported.	High	1	2	2	
Test Substance	2. Test Substance Source	Supplier was Bromine Science and Environmental Forum. No information on lot or batch and no analytical verification was described.	Medium	2	1	2	
	3. Test Substance Purity	HBCD was a technical mixture of three diastereoisomers, alpha, beta, and gamma-HBCD at respective proportions of 10.28%, 8.72%, and 81.02% with traces of tetra- and pentabromocyclodode cane.	High	1	1	1	
	Negative and Vehicle Controls	Untreated and vehicle controls.	High	1	2	2	
Test Design	5. Positive Controls	Positive controls were not needed for neurobehavioral studies.	Not Rated	NA	NA	NA	
	6. Randomized Allocation	The study did not report how animals were allocated to study groups.	Low	3	1	3	
Exposure Characterization	7. Preparation and Storage of Test Substance	Preparation of test diets was described; however, the frequency of preparation and store	Medium	2	1	2	

was not indicated.

Study reference:	Lilienthal, H.,van der Ven, L. T.,Piersma, A. H.,Vos, J. G. (2009). Effects of the brominated flame retardant hexabromocyclododecane (HBCD) on dopamine-dependent behavior and brainstem auditory evoked potentials in a one-generation reproduction study in Wistar rats Toxicology Letters, 185(1), 63-72						
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score	
	8. Consistency of Exposure Administration	Details of exposure administration were reported and exposures were administered consistently across study groups in a scientifically sound manner.	High	1	1	1	
	9. Reporting of Doses/Concentrati ons	Dose in mg/kg/day were calculated by study authors.	High	1	2	2	
	10. Exposure Frequency and Duration	Continuous paternal and maternal exposure during premating, mating, gestation, lactation and after weaning in offspring was reported.	High	1	1	1	
	11. Number of Exposure Groups and Dose Spacing	The number of exposure groups and dose/concentration spacing were justified by study authors and considered adequate to address the purpose of the study.	High	1	1	1	
	12. Exposure Route and Method	The route and method of exposure were reported and were suited to the test substance.	High	1	1	1	
Test Organism	13. Test Animal Characteristics	Species, strain, sex and starting age were provided (commercial source).	High	1	2	2	
	14. Adequacy and Consistency of Animal Husbandry Conditions	Husbandry conditions were reported and appropriate.	Medium	2	1	2	
	15. Number per Group	6/sex/group	High	1	1	1	

Study reference:	Lilienthal, H.,van der Ven, L. T.,Piersma, A. H.,Vos, J. G. (2009). Effects of the brominated flame retardant hexabromocyclododecane (HBCD) on dopamine-dependent behavior and brainstem auditory evoked potentials in a one-generation reproduction study in Wistar rats Toxicology Letters, 185(1), 63-72						
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score	
	16. Outcome Assessment Methodology	The outcome assessment methodology addressed or reported the intended outcome(s) of interest and was sensitive for the outcomes(s) of interest.	High	1	2	2	
	17. Consistency of Outcome Assessment	Details of the outcome assessment protocol were reported and outcomes were assessed consistently across study groups.	High	1	1	1	
Outcome Assessment	18. Sampling Adequacy	Details regarding sampling for the outcome(s) of interest were reported.	High	1	1	1	
	19. Blinding of Assessors	The authors report that "personnel conducting the measurements were unaware of the exposure conditions" suggesting the assessors were blinded.	High	1	1	1	
	20. Negative Control Response	The biological responses of the negative control group(s) were adequate.	High	1	1	1	
Confounding /	21. Confounding Variables in Test Design and Procedures	Initial body weight and food/water intake were not reported.	Low	3	2	6	
Confounding / Variable Control	22. Health Outcomes Unrelated to Exposure	Data on attrition and/or health outcomes unrelated to exposure were not reported for each study group.	Low	3	1	3	
Data Presentation and	23. Statistical Methods	Statistics and BMD modeling was reported.	High	1	1	1	
Analysis	24. Reporting of Data	Test data and BMD results were reported.	High	1	2	2	

Study reference:	Lilienthal, H.,van der Ven, L. T.,Piersma, A. H.,Vos, J. G. (2009). Effects of the brominated flame retardant hexabromocyclododecane (HBCD) on dopamine-dependent behavior and brainstem auditory evoked potentials in a one-generation reproduction study in Wistar rats Toxicology Letters, 185(1), 63-72					
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score
		Sum of scores:			30	41
Medium: >=	High: >=1 and <1.7 Medium: >=1.7 and <2.3		ım of Weighted Weighting Factors:	1.3667 Overall Score: Nearest *:		1.4
Low: >=2.3 and <=3		Overall Qual	ity Level:	High		
Study Quality Comment:	The reviewer agreed with this study's overall quality level.					

23. Animal toxicity evaluation results of Saegusa et al 2009 (787721) for 1-generation developmental toxicity (dietary exposure) study on reproductive, growth (early life) and development, neurological, hepatic, endocrine, thyroid, nutrition and metabolic/adult exposure body weight outcomes

Study reference:	Saegusa, Y.,Fujimoto, H.,Woo, G. H.,Inoue, K.,Takahashi, M.,Mitsumori, K.,Hirose, M.,Nishikawa, A.,Shibutani, M. (2009). Developmental toxicity of brominated flame retardants, tetrabromobisphenol A and 1,2,5,6,9,10-hexabromocyclododecane, in rat offspring after maternal exposure from mid-gestation through lactation Reproductive Toxicology, 28(4), 456-467					
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score
	Test Substance Identity	Identified by chemical name and CASRN.	High	1	2	2
Test Substance	2. Test Substance Source	Manufacturer and lot no. were reported	High	1	1	1
	3. Test Substance Purity	>95%	High	1	1	1
	Negative and Vehicle Controls	Concurrent negative control.	High	1	2	2
Test Design	5. Positive Controls	Positive control not needed developmental studies.	Not Rated	NA	NA	NA
	6. Randomized Allocation	Randomized allocation.	High	1	1	1
	7. Preparation and Storage of Test Substance	Test substance preparation and storage were not described.	Low	3	1	3
	8. Consistency of Exposure Administration	Details of exposure administration were reported.	High	1	1	1
Exposure Characterization	9. Reporting of Doses/Concentrations	Doses were reported as mg/kg-day (mean +/- SD) for 3 time periods (GD 10-20, PND 1-9 and PND 10- 20)	High	1	2	2
	10. Exposure Frequency and Duration	Daily exposure during critical developmental periods.	High	1	1	1
	11. Number of Exposure Groups and Dose Spacing	Range-finding study was used to set doses 3 treatment groups plus controls.	High	1	1	1

Study reference:	Saegusa, Y.,Fujimoto, H.,Woo, G. H.,Inoue, K.,Takahashi, M.,Mitsumori, K.,Hirose, M.,Nishikawa, A.,Shibutani, M. (2009). Developmental toxicity of brominated flame retardants, tetrabromobisphenol A and 1,2,5,6,9,10-hexabromocyclododecane, in rat offspring after maternal exposure from mid-gestation through lactation Reproductive Toxicology, 28(4), 456-467					
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score
	12. Exposure Route and Method	The route and method of exposure were reported and were suited to the test substance.	High	1	1	1
	13. Test Animal Characteristics	Test animals were obtained from a commercial source. Species, strain, and preganancy status were reported.	High	1	2	2
Test Organism	14. Adequacy and Consistency of Animal Husbandry Conditions	Husbandry conditions were reported and appropriate.	High	1	1	1
	15. Number per Group	The number of animals per study group was reported, appropriate for the study type and outcome analysis, and consistent with studies of the same or similar type (10/group).	High	1	1	1
	16. Outcome Assessment Methodology	Thorough outcome examinations pubertal and adult necropsies).	High	1	2	2
	17. Consistency of Outcome Assessment	Details of the outcome assessment protocol were reported and outcomes were assessed consistently across study groups.	High	1	1	1
Outcome Assessment	18. Sampling Adequacy	Details regarding sampling for the outcome(s) of interest were reported and the study used adequate sampling for the outcome(s) of interest (e.g., litter data provided for developmental studies; endpoints were evaluated in an adequate number of animals in each group).	High	1	1	1

Study reference:	Saegusa, Y.,Fujimoto, H.,Woo, G. H.,Inoue, K.,Takahashi, M.,Mitsumori, K.,Hirose, M.,Nishikawa, A.,Shibutani, M. (2009). Developmental toxicity of brominated flame retardants, tetrabromobisphenol A and 1,2,5,6,9,10-hexabromocyclododecane, in rat offspring after maternal exposure from mid-gestation through lactation Reproductive Toxicology, 28(4), 456-467					
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score
	19. Blinding of Assessors	Blinding was not reported, but outcomes were objective.	Medium	2	1	2
	20. Negative Control Response	No histopathology lesion in controls.	High	1	1	1
Confounding (21. Confounding Variables in Test Design and Procedures	No differences among groups in food consumption and body weight.	High	1	2	2
Confounding / Variable Control	22. Health Outcomes Unrelated to Exposure	Data on attrition and/or health outcomes unrelated to exposure were not reported for each study group	Low	3	1	3
	23. Statistical Methods	Statistical methods were clearly described and appropriate for dataset(s).	High	1	1	1
Data Presentation and Analysis	24. Reporting of Data	HBCD caused a dose- dependent decrease in Cingulate deep cortex CNPase (+) cell count, which was significantly lower at the highest dose exposed.	Medium	2	2	4
		Sum of so	cores:		30	37
High: >=1 and <1.7 Medium: >=1.7 and <2.3 Low: >=2.3 and <=3		Overall Score = Su Scores/Sum of Metric \		1.2333 Overall Score: 1.2 Nearest *:		1.2
		Overall Qual	ity Level:		High	
Study Quality Comment:	The reviewer agreed with this study's overall quality level.					

24. Animal toxicity evaluation results of Yanagisawa et al 2014 (2343717) for 14-week study (animals dosed by gavage 1x per week) study on hepatic, body weight, nutrition and metabolic/adult exposure body weight outcomes

Yanagisawa, R.,Koike, E.,Win-Shwe, T. T.,Yamamoto, M.,Takano, H. (2014). Impaired lipid and glucose homeostasis in hexabromocyclododecane-exposed mice fed a high-fat diet Environmental Health Perspectives, 122(3), 277-283

		ricaltii r ci	ricalti rerspectives, 122(5), 277-200						
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score			
	1. Test Substance Identity	Test substance described as HBCD, study did not indicate whether the test substance was composed of different isomers (as other studies have).	Medium	2	2	4			
Test Substance	2. Test Substance Source	Sigma Aldrich - no catalog #	High	1	1	1			
	3. Test Substance Purity	Purity was not reported, however, products purchased from Sigma for experimental use are generally >95% pure.	Medium	2	1	2			
	Negative and Vehicle Controls	an appropriate vehicle control was used	High	1	2	2			
Test Design	5. Positive Controls	Positive control was not necessary	Not Rated	NA	NA	NA			
rest Design	6. Randomized Allocation	Mice were randomly allocated. There were no differences in initial BWs	High	1	1	1			
Exposure Characterization	7. Preparation and Storage of Test Substance	Preparation of the test substance was described, but the frequency of preparation and storage were not reported.	Medium	2	1	2			
	8. Consistency of Exposure Administration	All groups appeared to be treated consistently	High	1	1	1			

Yanagisawa, R., Koike, E., Win-Shwe, T. T., Yamamoto, M., Takano, H. (2014). Impaired lipid and Study reference: glucose homeostasis in hexabromocyclododecane-exposed mice fed a high-fat diet Environmental Health Perspectives, 122(3), 277-283 Qualitative Determination Metric Weighted Metric **Domain** Metric **Eval Comment** [i.e.,High,Medium Weighting Score Score ,Low,Unacceptab **Factor** le, or Not rated] Dosing was clearly reported, although reported as 9. Reporting of mg/kg/week Doses/Concentrati High 1 2 2 ons CK: Dosing was reported as µg/kg BW/week, not as mg/kg/week Animals were only given the test substance 1x/week via oral gavage. This is not a standard frequency of administration, and there is no discussion 10. Exposure in the text indicating Frequency and Unacceptable NA 1 NA reasoning for the Duration chosen dosing frequency. It is an unusual frequency to evaluate the toxicological effects of the test substance on mice fed different diets. Three exposure 11. Number of groups and a control.. **Exposure Groups** Justification for High 1 1 and Dose Spacing exposure levels was provided. Method of gavage is acceptable, although it 12. Exposure is unclear in this case, High 1 Route and Method why a spiked dietary administration wasn't used instead. Animals, and animal characteristics were all reported, however, only a males were 13. Test Animal **Test Organism** used, for an ~90-day Medium 2 2 4 Characteristics repeated dose study, OECD quideline recommends testing on both sexes

Yanagisawa, R., Koike, E., Win-Shwe, T. T., Yamamoto, M., Takano, H. (2014). Impaired lipid and Study reference: glucose homeostasis in hexabromocyclododecane-exposed mice fed a high-fat diet Environmental Health Perspectives, 122(3), 277-283 Qualitative Determination Metric Weighted Metric **Eval Comment** Weighting **Domain** Metric [i.e.,High,Medium Score Score ,Low,Unacceptab **Factor** le, or Not rated] 14. Adequacy and Animal husbandry Consistency of conditions were High 1 1 1 Animal Husbandry appropriate Conditions Only 5-6 animals/group; OECD guidline for 90-day repeated dose study 15. Number per Medium 2 1 2 recommends a Group minimum of 8 animals/group (4 males and 4 females) 16. Outcome Methods used to 1 2 2 Assessment assess outcomes were High Methodology appropriate 17. Consistency of There was consistency Outcome across the groups that High 1 1 1 Assessment were tested A number of endpoints were only done using controls and high-dose groups, even though significant changes were supposedly 18. Sampling Outcome observed in the Medium 2 1 2 Adequacy Assessment medium-dose group for other endpoints.. This precludes the ability to evaluate dose-response for these endpoints Study indicates 19. Blinding of histology was done in 1 1 1 High Assessors a blinded fashion. No unexpected 20. Negative negative control High 1 1 1 Control Response responses were reported 21. Confounding No confounding Variables in Test variables were 2 2 High 1 Design and identified. Procedures Confounding / Variable Control 22. Health No unusual health Outcomes outcomes un-related to High 1 1 1 the exposure were Unrelated to Exposure identified

Study reference:	Yanagisawa, R.,Koike, E.,Win-Shwe, T. T.,Yamamoto, M.,Takano, H. (2014). Impaired lipid and glucose homeostasis in hexabromocyclododecane-exposed mice fed a high-fat diet Environmental Health Perspectives, 122(3), 277-283						
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score	
Data	23. Statistical Methods	Statistical analysis was clearly described and appropriate	High	1	1	1	
Presentation and Analysis	24. Reporting of Data	Data presentation was adequate; histological data was presented as images only	Medium	2	2	4	
		Sum of so	cores:		29	39	
Medium: >=	High: >=1 and <1.7 Medium: >=1.7 and <2.3		m of Weighted Weighting Factors:	4	Overall Score: 4 Nearest *:		
Low: >=2.3 and <=3		Overali Qual	ity Level:		Unacceptable		
Study Quality Comment:	The reviewer agreed with this study's overall quality level. Note: An overall score of 4 is given for any unacceptable study. A weighted average is not calculated for unacceptable studies.						

25. Animal toxicity evaluation results of Bernhard et al 2016 (3588138) for 28-day oral exposure in mice via diet study on hepatic, body weight outcomes

Bernhard, A., Berntssen, M. H. G., Lundebye, A. K., Ra, Yneberg Alvheim, A., Secher Myrmel, L., Fja, Re, E., Torstensen, B. E., Kristiansen, K., Madsen, L., Brattelid, T., Rasinger, J. D. (2016). Marine fatty Study reference: acids aggravate hepatotoxicity of HBCD in juvenile female BALB/c mice, 97, 411-423 Qualitative Determination Metric Metric Weighted **Domain** Metric **Eval Comment** [i.e.,High,Medium Weighting Score Score ,Low,Unacceptab **Factor** le, or Not rated] Identity and form are 1. Test Substance stated, no CAS# High 1 2 2 Identity reported. alpha-HBCD was synthesized from from gamma-HBCD. Analytical verification 2. Test Substance 2 of the product was not Medium 2 1 Source done, however, concentrations in feed were analyzed by GC-MS. After production, purity **Test Substance** of the alpha isomer was described as "pure". alpha-HBCD was produced in the 3. Test Substance laboratory. Study 3 1 3 Low Purity report states that "purified alpha-HBCD" was used to dose animals but % purity or details on the purification methods were not provided. Study used an 4. Negative and appropriate vehicle 1 2 2 High Vehicle Controls negative control diet. 5. Positive Positive control not Not Rated NA NA NA Controls necessary **Test Design** It was stated that animals were randomly 6. Randomized assigned, although the Medium 2 2 1 Allocation method for assignment was not described.

Study reference:	Re, E., Torstensen	ntssen, M. H. G.,Lundel , B. E.,Kristiansen, K.,N avate hepatotoxicity of	ladsen, L.,Brattelid,	, T.,Rasinge	r, J. D. (2016). N	larine fatty
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score
	7. Preparation and Storage of Test Substance	The frequency of diet preparation and a statement about stability were not provided. Preparation of diets was acceptable.	Medium	2	1	2
	8. Consistency of Exposure Administration	administration was consistent across groups.	High	1	1	1
Exposure Characterization	9. Reporting of Doses/Concentrati ons	Both nominal and measured concentrations in the diet were provided with corresponding daily exposures. However, these values were calculated using estimated (rather than actual) daily food intake. It can not be determined whether there was a difference in the intake across treatment groups.	Low	3	2	6
	10. Exposure Frequency and Duration	Appropriate; study design was based on OECD guideline 407 for short-term repeated dose toxicity study	High	1	1	1
	11. Number of Exposure Groups and Dose Spacing	Number of exposure groups was appropriate. Authors state that "The high dose (HD) chosen was high enough to elicit molecular aberrations and the low dose (LD) was based on the potentially relevant Lowest Observed Adverse Effect Level (LOAEL) (Table 1; Yanagisawa et al., 2014)."	High	1	1	1
	12. Exposure Route and Method	Exposure route acceptable	High	1	1	1

Study reference:	Bernhard, A.,Berntssen, M. H. G.,Lundebye, A. K.,Ra, Yneberg Alvheim, A.,Secher Myrmel, L.,Fja, Re, E.,Torstensen, B. E.,Kristiansen, K.,Madsen, L.,Brattelid, T.,Rasinger, J. D. (2016). Marine fatty acids aggravate hepatotoxicity of HBCD in juvenile female BALB/c mice, 97, 411-423					
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score
	13. Test Animal Characteristics	Standard animal model was used. Age was appropriate for desired "juvenile" developmental time point. Only one sex evaluated. Animals were obtained from Taconic.	High	1	2	2
Test Organism	14. Adequacy and Consistency of Animal Husbandry Conditions	Animal husbandry clearly reported and appropriate.	High	1	1	1
	15. Number per Group	n = 3-8 / group, depending on the outcome evaluated. Sample size is below the recommended minumum (n = 10) for OECD 407.	Medium	2	1	2
	16. Outcome Assessment Methodology	Methodology of outcome assessments were clearly described and appropriate	High	1	2	2
	17. Consistency of Outcome Assessment	Consistent assessment across groups.	High	1	1	1
Outcome Assessment	18. Sampling Adequacy	Sampling was adequate. Histology was performed on a subset of animals (n=3-4) from each exposure group, including controls	High	1	1	1
	19. Blinding of Assessors	Histopathology evaluations were subjective. Study report does not indicate that the assessor was blinded during assessment or whether outcomes were evaluated independently by a second pathologist.	Medium	2	1	2

Study reference:	Re, E.,Torstensen	Bernhard, A.,Berntssen, M. H. G.,Lundebye, A. K.,Ra, Yneberg Alvheim, A.,Secher Myrmel, L.,Fja, Re, E.,Torstensen, B. E.,Kristiansen, K.,Madsen, L.,Brattelid, T.,Rasinger, J. D. (2016). Marine fatt acids aggravate hepatotoxicity of HBCD in juvenile female BALB/c mice, 97, 411-423				
Domain	Metric	Eval Comment	Qualitative Determination [i.e.,High,Medium ,Low,Unacceptab le, or Not rated]	Metric Score	Metric Weighting Factor	Weighted Score
	20. Negative Control Response	No out of the ordinary control responses were noted.	High	1	1	1
Confounding / Variable Control	21. Confounding Variables in Test Design and Procedures	Initial body weights of animals were not reported. It is unclear whether there were differences in feed consumption because a default value (15% w/w) was used rather than the actual dietary intake	Low	3	2	6
	22. Health Outcomes Unrelated to Exposure	No health outcomes unrelated to exposure were reported; animals were observed daily.	High	1	1	1
Data Presentation and Analysis 24. Repo	23. Statistical Methods	Statistical analysis methodology were clearly reported and appropriate.	High	1	1	1
	24. Reporting of Data	Reporting of data was appropriate for most outcomes. Confidence level for histopathology results is reduced to Medium because results are only presented qualitatively (representative histology images from each group were shown and text description of the effects).	High	1	2	2
		Sum of so	cores:		30	45
High: >=1 and <1.7 Medium: >=1.7 and <2.3 Low: >=2.3 and <=3		Overall Score = Su Scores/Sum of Metric		NA	Overall Score: Nearest *:	NA
		Overall Qual	ity Level:		Medium	
Study Quality Comment: The reviewer downgraded this study's overall quality rating. They noted: I would downgrade this study based on concerns related to the purity of the chemical and reporting of the doses/concentrations. Note: The original calculated score for this study was 1.5. This value is not presented above because the final rating was changed based on professional judgement.						